

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 147A LEC A (62245), Winter Qtr 2019

Responses: 32/138 (23.19%)

ATTENDANCE

1. How often did you attend discussion sections?

- 3 Never
- 1 Once
- 2 2 - 3 times
- 1 4 - 5 times
- 4 6 - 7 times
- 7 8 times
- 13 More than 8 times

2. How often did you meet with the T.A., outside of the section time, to discuss the course material?

- 7 Never
- 5 Once
- 9 2 - 3 times
- 2 4 - 5 times
- 2 6 - 7 times
- 2 8 times
- 3 More than 8 times

PRESENTATION

3. T.A. was competent in course material:

- 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 1 2
- 0 3
- 5 4 (OK or Average)
- 3 5
- 7 6
- 14 7 (Among Best)

4. T.A. was able to make presentations clearly:

- 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 1 2
- 0 3
- 6 4 (OK or Average)
- 2 5
- 6 6
- 15 7 (Among Best)

5. T.A. was responsive to students:

- 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 1 3
- 6 4 (OK or Average)
- 3 5
- 5 6
- 15 7 (Among Best)

UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 147A LEC A (62245), Winter Qtr 2019

6. T.A. was able to integrate the lecture and discussion material:

- 2 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 1 3
- 3 4 (OK or Average)
- 4 5
- 6 6
- 14 7 (Among Best)

7. T.A. was present and on time for discussion sections/office hours:

- 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 1 2
- 0 3
- 4 4 (OK or Average)
- 2 5
- 7 6
- 16 7 (Among Best)

8. The discussion sections were useful to the success of the course:

- 2 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 1 2
- 1 3
- 4 4 (OK or Average)
- 2 5
- 8 6
- 13 7 (Among Best)

9. I would expect another course with this T.A. to be:

- 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 1 2
- 0 3
- 5 4 (OK or Average)
- 3 5
- 7 6
- 14 7 (Among Best)

EFFECTIVENESS

10. Rate your T.A.'s general teaching effectiveness:

- 0 Poor
- 0 Inadequate
- 1 Less than adequate
- 4 Adequate
- 4 More than adequate
- 6 Very good
- 16 Excellent

COMMENTS

11. *<No question text>*

1. What were the strongest points of the TA in discussion section or office hours?

UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 147A LEC A (62245), Winter Qtr 2019

- Clear explanation
 - Crystal clear on what she is trying to teach us. Really helped us with the difficult class.
 - good
 - Go over the material very clearly and gave us the bullet point for all the things we learned.
 - He can make all things clear, the prof however, not so much
 - I did not have discussion with this TA
 - N/A
 - Neat handwriting, easy to follow notes, very responsive
 - nothing
 - Prepared and knowledgeable! Friendly and very smart
 - Sanjana was a very good TA for this course. She completely understood the subject topics, and explained to us concepts and practice problems that were very confusing during lecture. In my opinion, her discussion section was much more informative and understandable than any of the lectures. On top of that, she was extremely responsive over email or in office hours, and was even willing to meet with me outside of her office hours when I asked. She made this class much easier and I respect her greatly for helping the students out as much as she can. She showed the utmost care for the students in her discussion.
 - She is so smart, and responsible. that's all I want to say
 - She is very helpful.
 - She is very knowledgeable in the class subject, and is very willing to help students be successful in this course. Seems very enthusiastic in the subject.
 - She prepares a lot for our discussion.
 - 17 blank answer(s).
2. Do you have any recommendations for your TA that would help that person be a more effective TA in the future?
- good
 - I am not sure what she could do to improve.
 - Keep doing what she is doing. I like her style of discussion a lot and it works for us.
 - Know what the professor is aiming to put on the exams (I know he didn't share that information with you but other professors tell their TAs so it would've been nice if he did the same)
 - Maybe let more students have a chance to discuss problems during class.
 - N/A
 - No
 - No
 - no, best
 - none
 - nothing
 - nothing, good job
 - 20 blank answer(s).