

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 125 DIS A1 (62191),
Winter Qtr 2017

Responses: 20/58 (34.48%)

ATTENDANCE

1. How often did you attend discussion sections?
 - 0 Never
 - 1 Once
 - 2 2 - 3 times
 - 3 4 - 5 times
 - 3 6 - 7 times
 - 0 8 times
 - 11 More than 8 times

2. How often did you meet with the T.A., outside of the section time, to discuss the course material?
 - 10 Never
 - 6 Once
 - 0 2 - 3 times
 - 1 4 - 5 times
 - 3 6 - 7 times
 - 0 8 times
 - 0 More than 8 times

PRESENTATION

3. T.A. was competent in course material:
 - 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
 - 0 1 (Among Worst)
 - 1 2
 - 0 3
 - 3 4 (OK or Average)
 - 4 5
 - 4 6
 - 8 7 (Among Best)

4. T.A. was able to make presentations clearly:
 - 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
 - 0 1 (Among Worst)
 - 1 2
 - 0 3
 - 3 4 (OK or Average)
 - 4 5
 - 4 6
 - 8 7 (Among Best)

5. T.A. was responsive to students:
 - 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
 - 0 1 (Among Worst)
 - 1 2
 - 0 3
 - 2 4 (OK or Average)
 - 3 5
 - 5 6
 - 8 7 (Among Best)

UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 125 DIS A1 (62191), Winter Qtr 2017

6. T.A. was able to integrate the lecture and discussion material:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 1 2
- 0 3
- 2 4 (OK or Average)
- 4 5
- 5 6
- 8 7 (Among Best)

7. T.A. was present and on time for discussion sections/office hours:

- 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 1 2
- 0 3
- 2 4 (OK or Average)
- 2 5
- 3 6
- 11 7 (Among Best)

8. The discussion sections were useful to the success of the course:

- 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 1 2
- 0 3
- 2 4 (OK or Average)
- 4 5
- 3 6
- 9 7 (Among Best)

9. I would expect another course with this T.A. to be:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 1 2
- 0 3
- 3 4 (OK or Average)
- 3 5
- 5 6
- 8 7 (Among Best)

EFFECTIVENESS

10. Rate your T.A.'s general teaching effectiveness:

- 0 Poor
- 0 Inadequate
- 1 Less than adequate
- 1 Adequate
- 6 More than adequate
- 5 Very good
- 6 Excellent

COMMENTS

11. *<No question text>*

1. What were the strongest points of the TA in discussion section or office hours?

UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 125 DIS A1 (62191), Winter Qtr 2017

- Clearly going over the material and last week's homework
 - Concise and to the point. Actually went over what was said in lecture and covered the confusing parts.
 - explaining materials and homework questions clearly
 - good lectures explains topics well clear understanding covers a lot of material during discussion eviews, homework, lecture
 - N/A
 - She's perhaps the smartest TA I've had in a long while. She's clear, concise, and has awesome handwriting!
 - She could supplement the details of what we learnt in class. That's super helpful for my study.
 - 13 blank answer(s).
2. Do you have any recommendations for your TA that would help that person be a more effective TA in the future?
- Honestly, she was perfect. You can tell that she's going to go far in life.
 - Maybe speak a little bit slowly.
 - N/A
 - possible more use of eviews during sections, one difficult thing is understanding how to get each output
 - To help with future homework
 - 15 blank answer(s).

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 125 DIS A2 (62192),
Winter Qtr 2017

Responses: 22/48 (45.83%)

ATTENDANCE

1. How often did you attend discussion sections?

- 0 Never
- 1 Once
- 2 2 - 3 times
- 2 4 - 5 times
- 2 6 - 7 times
- 4 8 times
- 11 More than 8 times

2. How often did you meet with the T.A., outside of the section time, to discuss the course material?

- 12 Never
- 1 Once
- 1 2 - 3 times
- 4 4 - 5 times
- 1 6 - 7 times
- 1 8 times
- 2 More than 8 times

PRESENTATION

3. T.A. was competent in course material:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 5 4 (OK or Average)
- 5 5
- 4 6
- 8 7 (Among Best)

4. T.A. was able to make presentations clearly:

- 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 5 4 (OK or Average)
- 5 5
- 3 6
- 8 7 (Among Best)

5. T.A. was responsive to students:

- 2 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 5 4 (OK or Average)
- 3 5
- 6 6
- 6 7 (Among Best)

UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 125 DIS A2 (62192), Winter Qtr 2017

6. T.A. was able to integrate the lecture and discussion material:

- 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 1 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 4 4 (OK or Average)
- 5 5
- 4 6
- 7 7 (Among Best)

7. T.A. was present and on time for discussion sections/office hours:

- 3 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 3 4 (OK or Average)
- 3 5
- 3 6
- 10 7 (Among Best)

8. The discussion sections were useful to the success of the course:

- 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 4 4 (OK or Average)
- 7 5
- 2 6
- 8 7 (Among Best)

9. I would expect another course with this T.A. to be:

- 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 5 4 (OK or Average)
- 6 5
- 1 6
- 9 7 (Among Best)

EFFECTIVENESS

10. Rate your T.A.'s general teaching effectiveness:

- 0 Poor
- 0 Inadequate
- 0 Less than adequate
- 4 Adequate
- 5 More than adequate
- 10 Very good
- 3 Excellent

COMMENTS

11. *<No question text>*

1. What were the strongest points of the TA in discussion section or office hours?

UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 125 DIS A2 (62192), Winter Qtr 2017

- For the one time I went, she knew what she was talking about. Professor said discussion attendance was mandatory but she never took attendance so I stopped going.
 - Going through the homework was effective.
 - Go over homework problems and most important equations
 - she knew what she was teaching. cleared up any confusion from lecture
 - the way you reviewed before final and midterm the way you prepped us for test your ability to explain homework
 - 17 blank answer(s).
2. Do you have any recommendations for your TA that would help that person be a more effective TA in the future?
- maybe not rush so much during discussion sections.
 - none
 - Nothing comes to mind
 - Very good now
 - 18 blank answer(s).