

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 161A DIS A1 (62281), Winter Qtr 2015

Responses: 15/49 (30.61%)

ATTENDANCE

1. How often did you attend discussion sections?

- 0 Never
- 0 Once
- 4 2 - 3 times
- 3 4 - 5 times
- 1 6 - 7 times
- 1 8 times
- 6 More than 8 times

2. How often did you meet with the T.A., outside of the section time, to discuss the course material?

- 11 Never
- 4 Once
- 0 2 - 3 times
- 0 4 - 5 times
- 0 6 - 7 times
- 0 8 times
- 0 More than 8 times

PRESENTATION

3. T.A. was competent in course material:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 1 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 4 4 (OK or Average)
- 2 5
- 1 6
- 7 7 (Among Best)

4. T.A. was able to make presentations clearly:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 1 3
- 3 4 (OK or Average)
- 2 5
- 2 6
- 7 7 (Among Best)

5. T.A. was responsive to students:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 1 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 4 4 (OK or Average)
- 2 5
- 1 6
- 7 7 (Among Best)

UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 161A DIS A1 (62281), Winter Qtr 2015

6. T.A. was able to integrate the lecture and discussion material:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 1 2
- 0 3
- 3 4 (OK or Average)
- 3 5
- 1 6
- 6 7 (Among Best)

7. T.A. was present and on time for discussion sections/office hours:

- 2 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 1 2
- 1 3
- 2 4 (OK or Average)
- 3 5
- 0 6
- 6 7 (Among Best)

8. The discussion sections were useful to the success of the course:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 1 2
- 0 3
- 4 4 (OK or Average)
- 1 5
- 0 6
- 9 7 (Among Best)

9. I would expect another course with this T.A. to be:

- 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 1 1 (Among Worst)
- 1 2
- 0 3
- 2 4 (OK or Average)
- 1 5
- 0 6
- 9 7 (Among Best)

EFFECTIVENESS

10. Rate your T.A.'s general teaching effectiveness:

- 0 Poor
- 0 Inadequate
- 1 Less than adequate
- 3 Adequate
- 2 More than adequate
- 5 Very good
- 4 Excellent

COMMENTS

11. <No question text>

1. What were the strongest points of the TA in discussion section or office hours?

UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 161A DIS A1 (62281), Winter Qtr 2015

- Clear notes and went over each topic in details
 - HELPFUL E-MAIL
 - n/a
 - Sanjana always came to class prepared and had a set of concepts to cover. She would go over key topics and explain them thoroughly. Additionally, she always had many examples to help us apply concepts. Her organizing skills were fantastic, as she still had time for students to ask further questions.
 - Sanjana presents the information in a straightforward manner so its rather easy to understand.
 - The TA was very nice and helpful. She knows what is she doing and prepare before the discussion.
 - 9 blank answer(s).
2. Do you have any recommendations for your TA that would help that person be a more effective TA in the future?
- It would help if she would attempt to push students into answering or corresponding back. In addition, it would also help if she would be a little more enthusiastic when holding the discussion sections.
 - n/a
 - NO
 - 12 blank answer(s).

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 161A DIS A2 (62282), Winter Qtr 2015

Responses: 8/36 (22.22%)

ATTENDANCE

1. How often did you attend discussion sections?

- 1 Never
- 0 Once
- 1 2 - 3 times
- 0 4 - 5 times
- 0 6 - 7 times
- 2 8 times
- 4 More than 8 times

2. How often did you meet with the T.A., outside of the section time, to discuss the course material?

- 4 Never
- 1 Once
- 1 2 - 3 times
- 1 4 - 5 times
- 0 6 - 7 times
- 1 8 times
- 0 More than 8 times

PRESENTATION

3. T.A. was competent in course material:

- 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 2 4 (OK or Average)
- 0 5
- 2 6
- 3 7 (Among Best)

4. T.A. was able to make presentations clearly:

- 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 2 4 (OK or Average)
- 0 5
- 3 6
- 2 7 (Among Best)

5. T.A. was responsive to students:

- 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 1 4 (OK or Average)
- 1 5
- 1 6
- 4 7 (Among Best)

UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 161A DIS A2 (62282), Winter Qtr 2015

6. T.A. was able to integrate the lecture and discussion material:

- 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 1 4 (OK or Average)
- 2 5
- 2 6
- 2 7 (Among Best)

7. T.A. was present and on time for discussion sections/office hours:

- 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 1 4 (OK or Average)
- 1 5
- 2 6
- 3 7 (Among Best)

8. The discussion sections were useful to the success of the course:

- 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 1 4 (OK or Average)
- 1 5
- 2 6
- 3 7 (Among Best)

9. I would expect another course with this T.A. to be:

- 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 1 4 (OK or Average)
- 1 5
- 2 6
- 3 7 (Among Best)

EFFECTIVENESS

10. Rate your T.A.'s general teaching effectiveness:

- 0 Poor
- 0 Inadequate
- 0 Less than adequate
- 2 Adequate
- 0 More than adequate
- 5 Very good
- 1 Excellent

COMMENTS

11. *<No question text>*

1. What were the strongest points of the TA in discussion section or office hours?

UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 161A DIS A2 (62282), Winter Qtr 2015

- Very organized and provided helpful examples.
 - 7 blank answer(s).
2. Do you have any recommendations for your TA that would help that person be a more effective TA in the future?
- 8 blank answer(s).