

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 23 DIS A1 (62121),
Spring Qtr 2017

Responses: 22/60 (36.67%)

ATTENDANCE

1. How often did you attend discussion sections?
 - 1 Never
 - 4 Once
 - 3 2 - 3 times
 - 4 4 - 5 times
 - 1 6 - 7 times
 - 0 8 times
 - 6 More than 8 times

2. How often did you meet with the T.A., outside of the section time, to discuss the course material?
 - 17 Never
 - 1 Once
 - 0 2 - 3 times
 - 0 4 - 5 times
 - 0 6 - 7 times
 - 0 8 times
 - 1 More than 8 times

PRESENTATION

3. T.A. was competent in course material:
 - 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
 - 0 1 (Among Worst)
 - 0 2
 - 1 3
 - 2 4 (OK or Average)
 - 1 5
 - 7 6
 - 7 7 (Among Best)

4. T.A. was able to make presentations clearly:
 - 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
 - 0 1 (Among Worst)
 - 0 2
 - 1 3
 - 3 4 (OK or Average)
 - 1 5
 - 6 6
 - 7 7 (Among Best)

5. T.A. was responsive to students:
 - 4 0 (N/A or Unsure)
 - 0 1 (Among Worst)
 - 0 2
 - 2 3
 - 1 4 (OK or Average)
 - 2 5
 - 2 6
 - 8 7 (Among Best)

UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 23 DIS A1 (62121), Spring Qtr 2017

6. T.A. was able to integrate the lecture and discussion material:

- 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 1 3
- 0 4 (OK or Average)
- 2 5
- 6 6
- 9 7 (Among Best)

7. T.A. was present and on time for discussion sections/office hours:

- 2 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 1 3
- 2 4 (OK or Average)
- 2 5
- 3 6
- 8 7 (Among Best)

8. The discussion sections were useful to the success of the course:

- 4 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 1 2
- 3 3
- 1 4 (OK or Average)
- 2 5
- 1 6
- 7 7 (Among Best)

9. I would expect another course with this T.A. to be:

- 2 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 2 3
- 0 4 (OK or Average)
- 2 5
- 5 6
- 7 7 (Among Best)

EFFECTIVENESS

10. Rate your T.A.'s general teaching effectiveness:

- 0 Poor
- 0 Inadequate
- 0 Less than adequate
- 3 Adequate
- 4 More than adequate
- 6 Very good
- 6 Excellent

COMMENTS

11. *<No question text>*

1. What were the strongest points of the TA in discussion section or office hours?

UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 23 DIS A1 (62121), Spring Qtr 2017

- Clear
 - Cleared up any muddy points students might have
 - Covered all material concisely and provided a platform for any questions.
 - In depth, relevant examples
 - Knew the material
 - She came to class prepared with specific intentions for the day. We would go over very relevant/helpful examples and she would provide necessary and clear definitions. Midterm/final reviews were great! Loved that she would finish early sometimes and I would still leave feeling that the discussion was more helpful than lectures. Overall great TA.
 - She was prepared for the current and next week's lecture topics.
 - The TA goes over what is important in the class. The drawings and charts she draws really help.
 - 14 blank answer(s).
2. Do you have any recommendations for your TA that would help that person be a more effective TA in the future?
- I didn't go to discussion because of club conflicts, but she was a good TA.
 - N/A
 - N/A
 - Not really, no
 - Speak louder
 - 17 blank answer(s).