

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 165 DIS A1 (62301),
Spring Qtr 2016

Responses: 13/40 (32.5%)

ATTENDANCE

1. How often did you attend discussion sections?

- 0 Never
- 0 Once
- 0 2 - 3 times
- 0 4 - 5 times
- 2 6 - 7 times
- 2 8 times
- 9 More than 8 times

2. How often did you meet with the T.A., outside of the section time, to discuss the course material?

- 7 Never
- 5 Once
- 1 2 - 3 times
- 0 4 - 5 times
- 0 6 - 7 times
- 0 8 times
- 0 More than 8 times

PRESENTATION

3. T.A. was competent in course material:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 1 4 (OK or Average)
- 2 5
- 0 6
- 10 7 (Among Best)

4. T.A. was able to make presentations clearly:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 1 4 (OK or Average)
- 2 5
- 1 6
- 9 7 (Among Best)

5. T.A. was responsive to students:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 1 3
- 1 4 (OK or Average)
- 1 5
- 0 6
- 10 7 (Among Best)

UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 165 DIS A1 (62301), Spring Qtr 2016

6. T.A. was able to integrate the lecture and discussion material:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 1 2
- 0 3
- 0 4 (OK or Average)
- 2 5
- 0 6
- 10 7 (Among Best)

7. T.A. was present and on time for discussion sections/office hours:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 1 4 (OK or Average)
- 2 5
- 0 6
- 10 7 (Among Best)

8. The discussion sections were useful to the success of the course:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 1 2
- 0 3
- 2 4 (OK or Average)
- 1 5
- 1 6
- 8 7 (Among Best)

9. I would expect another course with this T.A. to be:

- 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 1 4 (OK or Average)
- 1 5
- 1 6
- 8 7 (Among Best)

EFFECTIVENESS

10. Rate your T.A.'s general teaching effectiveness:

- 0 Poor
- 0 Inadequate
- 0 Less than adequate
- 2 Adequate
- 1 More than adequate
- 3 Very good
- 7 Excellent

COMMENTS

11. <No question text>

1. What were the strongest points of the TA in discussion section or office hours?

UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 165 DIS A1 (62301), Spring Qtr 2016

- Good notes and good explanations with a decent pace.
 - I've had this TA a couple times before in previous Econ classes. She is definitely one of the best Econ TA's I've had in UCI. First of all, she really knows her material and her discussion sections are always productive. She answers emails very quickly. She emphasizes materials that she thinks are important and they usually end up on tests. She is so nice too!! Even in my previous classes with her, it was mainly because I went to her office hours, emailed her, and went to her discussion sections that I was able to do well in the classes I took.
 - She came to discussion prepared and very organized notes. She clarified topics discussed in class.
 - She explained some points clear, but I did not see how it related to the lecture.
 - she goes over the most important points in the class. she is so helpful. she is willing to help students
 - Very clear presentations
 - 7 blank answer(s).
2. Do you have any recommendations for your TA that would help that person be a more effective TA in the future?
- Be responsive to email with an efficient answer.
 - N/A
 - she is the best TA I have ever met
 - 10 blank answer(s).

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 165 DIS A6 (62306),
Spring Qtr 2016

Responses: 13/41 (31.71%)

ATTENDANCE

1. How often did you attend discussion sections?

- 1 Never
- 0 Once
- 0 2 - 3 times
- 1 4 - 5 times
- 1 6 - 7 times
- 3 8 times
- 6 More than 8 times

2. How often did you meet with the T.A., outside of the section time, to discuss the course material?

- 8 Never
- 0 Once
- 1 2 - 3 times
- 1 4 - 5 times
- 0 6 - 7 times
- 0 8 times
- 1 More than 8 times

PRESENTATION

3. T.A. was competent in course material:

- 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 2 4 (OK or Average)
- 1 5
- 1 6
- 7 7 (Among Best)

4. T.A. was able to make presentations clearly:

- 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 1 4 (OK or Average)
- 2 5
- 0 6
- 8 7 (Among Best)

5. T.A. was responsive to students:

- 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 1 4 (OK or Average)
- 2 5
- 1 6
- 7 7 (Among Best)

UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 165 DIS A6 (62306), Spring Qtr 2016

6. T.A. was able to integrate the lecture and discussion material:

- 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 1 4 (OK or Average)
- 2 5
- 1 6
- 7 7 (Among Best)

7. T.A. was present and on time for discussion sections/office hours:

- 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 1 4 (OK or Average)
- 1 5
- 1 6
- 8 7 (Among Best)

8. The discussion sections were useful to the success of the course:

- 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 1 4 (OK or Average)
- 2 5
- 0 6
- 8 7 (Among Best)

9. I would expect another course with this T.A. to be:

- 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 2 4 (OK or Average)
- 1 5
- 1 6
- 7 7 (Among Best)

EFFECTIVENESS

10. Rate your T.A.'s general teaching effectiveness:

- 0 Poor
- 0 Inadequate
- 0 Less than adequate
- 1 Adequate
- 3 More than adequate
- 3 Very good
- 4 Excellent

COMMENTS

11. <No question text>

1. What were the strongest points of the TA in discussion section or office hours?

UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 165 DIS A6 (62306), Spring Qtr 2016

- great notes, very helpful, very nice
 - Helpful examples presented
 - wrote well, explained material exceptionally
 - 10 blank answer(s).
2. Do you have any recommendations for your TA that would help that person be a more effective TA in the future?
- keep doing what you're doing!
 - N/A
 - 11 blank answer(s).