

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 140 DIS A2 (62182),  
Spring Qtr 2015

Responses: 20/65 (30.77%)

**ATTENDANCE**

1. How often did you attend discussion sections?
  - 0 Never
  - 0 Once
  - 1 2 - 3 times
  - 1 4 - 5 times
  - 1 6 - 7 times
  - 4 8 times
  - 13 More than 8 times
  
2. How often did you meet with the T.A., outside of the section time, to discuss the course material?
  - 12 Never
  - 1 Once
  - 2 2 - 3 times
  - 2 4 - 5 times
  - 0 6 - 7 times
  - 0 8 times
  - 3 More than 8 times

**PRESENTATION**

3. T.A. was competent in course material:
  - 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
  - 0 1 (Among Worst)
  - 0 2
  - 2 3
  - 1 4 (OK or Average)
  - 2 5
  - 4 6
  - 11 7 (Among Best)
  
4. T.A. was able to make presentations clearly:
  - 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
  - 0 1 (Among Worst)
  - 0 2
  - 2 3
  - 1 4 (OK or Average)
  - 3 5
  - 3 6
  - 11 7 (Among Best)
  
5. T.A. was responsive to students:
  - 2 0 (N/A or Unsure)
  - 0 1 (Among Worst)
  - 1 2
  - 0 3
  - 0 4 (OK or Average)
  - 3 5
  - 4 6
  - 10 7 (Among Best)

## UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 140 DIS A2 (62182), Spring Qtr 2015

---

6. T.A. was able to integrate the lecture and discussion material:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 3 4 (OK or Average)
- 2 5
- 3 6
- 12 7 (Among Best)

7. T.A. was present and on time for discussion sections/office hours:

- 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 2 4 (OK or Average)
- 1 5
- 3 6
- 13 7 (Among Best)

8. The discussion sections were useful to the success of the course:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 3 4 (OK or Average)
- 2 5
- 4 6
- 11 7 (Among Best)

9. I would expect another course with this T.A. to be:

- 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 1 3
- 1 4 (OK or Average)
- 1 5
- 5 6
- 10 7 (Among Best)

## EFFECTIVENESS

10. Rate your T.A.'s general teaching effectiveness:

- 0 Poor
- 0 Inadequate
- 0 Less than adequate
- 3 Adequate
- 4 More than adequate
- 3 Very good
- 10 Excellent

## COMMENTS

11. <No question text>

1. What were the strongest points of the TA in discussion section or office hours?

## UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 140 DIS A2 (62182), Spring Qtr 2015

---

- All your lesson plans were great! I learned a lot from you and you helped me understand homework problems.
  - Concise and detailed examples
  - Everything was discussed
  - Gave extra practice problems for the test
  - n/a
  - Never stuttered or made errors. Very specific and helpful. Would make a great professor.
  - No idea
  - Organized and clear explanation
  - She is organized and helpful. Thanks to her !
  - 11 blank answer(s).
2. Do you have any recommendations for your TA that would help that person be a more effective TA in the future?
- Good job in doing what you did this quarter. You went really fast but it was ok as i was able to keep up
  - If anything, it may help people sitting in the back if she spoke louder. It wasn't a concern for me since I sat in the front, but there were a couple times someone mentioned her speaking up more.
  - I wish you would have had a lesson plan for our last discussion. I was hoping for a review. I think it would have been helpful because I like how you teach the material.
  - Keep up the work
  - n/a
  - NA
  - No idea
  - Please speak up in discussions.
  - Thank you.
  - 11 blank answer(s).

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 140 DIS A3 (62183),  
Spring Qtr 2015

Responses: 23/59 (38.98%)

**ATTENDANCE**

1. How often did you attend discussion sections?

- 0 Never
- 0 Once
- 0 2 - 3 times
- 0 4 - 5 times
- 1 6 - 7 times
- 5 8 times
- 16 More than 8 times

2. How often did you meet with the T.A., outside of the section time, to discuss the course material?

- 17 Never
- 2 Once
- 1 2 - 3 times
- 1 4 - 5 times
- 1 6 - 7 times
- 0 8 times
- 0 More than 8 times

**PRESENTATION**

3. T.A. was competent in course material:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 3 4 (OK or Average)
- 3 5
- 1 6
- 15 7 (Among Best)

4. T.A. was able to make presentations clearly:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 2 4 (OK or Average)
- 4 5
- 2 6
- 14 7 (Among Best)

5. T.A. was responsive to students:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 2 4 (OK or Average)
- 4 5
- 1 6
- 15 7 (Among Best)

## UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 140 DIS A3 (62183), Spring Qtr 2015

---

6. T.A. was able to integrate the lecture and discussion material:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 3 4 (OK or Average)
- 3 5
- 1 6
- 15 7 (Among Best)

7. T.A. was present and on time for discussion sections/office hours:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 2 4 (OK or Average)
- 3 5
- 1 6
- 16 7 (Among Best)

8. The discussion sections were useful to the success of the course:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 3 4 (OK or Average)
- 3 5
- 1 6
- 15 7 (Among Best)

9. I would expect another course with this T.A. to be:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 2 4 (OK or Average)
- 3 5
- 2 6
- 15 7 (Among Best)

## EFFECTIVENESS

10. Rate your T.A.'s general teaching effectiveness:

- 0 Poor
- 0 Inadequate
- 0 Less than adequate
- 0 Adequate
- 3 More than adequate
- 4 Very good
- 15 Excellent

## COMMENTS

11. *<No question text>*

1. What were the strongest points of the TA in discussion section or office hours?

## UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 140 DIS A3 (62183), Spring Qtr 2015

---

- Always responded to my email questions and explained all well!
  - clear
  - Covered all material.
  - She condenses the material into the main ideas really well. It really helped me have a better understanding of the materials from class. I like how she organizes what to write on the board because it was helpful for me.
  - She did a great job summarizing what we learned during lecture
  - Super helpful notes and examples, clear structure.
  - Very clear, helpful, and had a passion for helping students.
  - 16 blank answer(s).
2. Do you have any recommendations for your TA that would help that person be a more effective TA in the future?
- Maybe teach a bit slower, but besides that you helped much more than all of my lectures!
  - N/A
  - The handouts given in class were not that effective. I never used or looked at them
  - 20 blank answer(s).