

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 161A LEC A (62285), Fall Qtr 2019

Responses: 58/153 (37.91%)

ATTENDANCE

1. How often did you attend discussion sections?

- 3** Never
- 0** Once
- 4** 2 - 3 times
- 12** 4 - 5 times
- 10** 6 - 7 times
- 10** 8 times
- 17** More than 8 times

2. How often did you meet with the T.A., outside of the section time, to discuss the course material?

- 25** Never
- 10** Once
- 8** 2 - 3 times
- 3** 4 - 5 times
- 3** 6 - 7 times
- 1** 8 times
- 6** More than 8 times

PRESENTATION

3. T.A. was competent in course material:

- 1** 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0** 1 (Among Worst)
- 0** 2
- 1** 3
- 1** 4 (OK or Average)
- 9** 5
- 12** 6
- 33** 7 (Among Best)

4. T.A. was able to make presentations clearly:

- 1** 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0** 1 (Among Worst)
- 0** 2
- 1** 3
- 2** 4 (OK or Average)
- 5** 5
- 15** 6
- 33** 7 (Among Best)

5. T.A. was responsive to students:

- 1** 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0** 1 (Among Worst)
- 0** 2
- 0** 3
- 2** 4 (OK or Average)
- 6** 5
- 16** 6
- 32** 7 (Among Best)

6. T.A. was able to integrate the lecture and discussion material:

1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
0 1 (Among Worst)
0 2
1 3
1 4 (OK or Average)
3 5
17 6
34 7 (Among Best)

7. T.A. was present and on time for discussion sections/office hours:

1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
0 1 (Among Worst)
0 2
1 3
2 4 (OK or Average)
2 5
17 6
34 7 (Among Best)

8. The discussion sections were useful to the success of the course:

1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
0 1 (Among Worst)
0 2
1 3
1 4 (OK or Average)
2 5
19 6
33 7 (Among Best)

9. I would expect another course with this T.A. to be:

1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
0 1 (Among Worst)
0 2
1 3
2 4 (OK or Average)
3 5
16 6
34 7 (Among Best)

EFFECTIVENESS

10. Rate your T.A.'s general teaching effectiveness:

0 Poor
0 Inadequate
1 Less than adequate
3 Adequate
10 More than adequate
14 Very good
29 Excellent

COMMENTS

11. *<No question text>*

1. What were the strongest points of the TA in discussion section or office hours?

- Best T.A. I've ever had. Cares a lot about student success and goes out of her way to make sure all our questions are answered. Talks about the lecture material in a simplified way that makes it much easier to understand. Gives us really useful tips and takes the time to explain everything clearly.
 - explains very well, even the most confusing ideas.
 - good
 - good explanation
 - Great explanations of course material.
 - Have very deep understanding of the course materials and able to provide clear instruction for preparing the exam.
 - Hosting review sessions.
 - na
 - Sanjana is, if not the best, one of the greatest TA. She explains everything in a manner that is very acceptable and easy way. She made the course much more easier!
 - Sanjana reviewed the material taught during lectures well and provided us with a detailed analysis of homework problems along with additional practice problems. She provided us with a thorough understanding of the material to be tested on exams and made the information more clear and easier to comprehend.
 - She's clear and well-explained in answering questions.
 - she is nice
 - She is very patient when answering my problems during office hours and she can has a good understanding of course materials.
 - she knows the subject perfectly
 - she was great
 - Super helpful in clarifying what is taught in lecture and definitely understands the course material. Also very nice person thank you very much you made this course a lot more bearable!
 - super knowledgeable of subject and teaches students in the simplest way that is very easy to follow
 - talk about sample questions
 - TA was very knowledgeable about the subject. Knew very well what the professor expected and was able to communicate it clearly to the students. Was able to concisely and effectively explain concepts and explain calculations. Very grateful for the review sessions.
 - Very clear about the course objective, elaborate anything when asked. Know what she's teaching and give excellent presentation.
 - very good
 - 37 blank answer(s).
2. Do you have any recommendations for your TA that would help that person be a more effective TA in the future?
- attendance
 - best TA i ever had for Econ classes
 - good
 - I have taken other courses with her as my TA. SHE'S PERFECT!
 - Keep doing what you're doing!
 - N/A
 - N/a
 - N/A
 - N/A
 - na

UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 161A LEC A (62285), Fall Qtr 2019

- Nah she is already the best in my mind.
- no
- none
- no she is perfect
- She is the best TA I've ever had, for real
- she was amazing
- 42 blank answer(s).

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 147A LEC A (62245), Winter Qtr 2019

Responses: 32/138 (23.19%)

ATTENDANCE

1. How often did you attend discussion sections?

- 3** Never
- 1** Once
- 2** 2 - 3 times
- 1** 4 - 5 times
- 4** 6 - 7 times
- 7** 8 times
- 13** More than 8 times

2. How often did you meet with the T.A., outside of the section time, to discuss the course material?

- 7** Never
- 5** Once
- 9** 2 - 3 times
- 2** 4 - 5 times
- 2** 6 - 7 times
- 2** 8 times
- 3** More than 8 times

PRESENTATION

3. T.A. was competent in course material:

- 1** 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0** 1 (Among Worst)
- 1** 2
- 0** 3
- 5** 4 (OK or Average)
- 3** 5
- 7** 6
- 14** 7 (Among Best)

4. T.A. was able to make presentations clearly:

- 1** 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0** 1 (Among Worst)
- 1** 2
- 0** 3
- 6** 4 (OK or Average)
- 2** 5
- 6** 6
- 15** 7 (Among Best)

5. T.A. was responsive to students:

- 1** 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0** 1 (Among Worst)
- 0** 2
- 1** 3
- 6** 4 (OK or Average)
- 3** 5
- 5** 6
- 15** 7 (Among Best)

UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 147A LEC A (62245), Winter Qtr 2019

6. T.A. was able to integrate the lecture and discussion material:

- 2 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 1 3
- 3 4 (OK or Average)
- 4 5
- 6 6
- 14 7 (Among Best)

7. T.A. was present and on time for discussion sections/office hours:

- 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 1 2
- 0 3
- 4 4 (OK or Average)
- 2 5
- 7 6
- 16 7 (Among Best)

8. The discussion sections were useful to the success of the course:

- 2 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 1 2
- 1 3
- 4 4 (OK or Average)
- 2 5
- 8 6
- 13 7 (Among Best)

9. I would expect another course with this T.A. to be:

- 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 1 2
- 0 3
- 5 4 (OK or Average)
- 3 5
- 7 6
- 14 7 (Among Best)

EFFECTIVENESS

10. Rate your T.A.'s general teaching effectiveness:

- 0 Poor
- 0 Inadequate
- 1 Less than adequate
- 4 Adequate
- 4 More than adequate
- 6 Very good
- 16 Excellent

COMMENTS

11. *<No question text>*

1. What were the strongest points of the TA in discussion section or office hours?

- Clear explanation
 - Crystal clear on what she is trying to teach us. Really helped us with the difficult class.
 - good
 - Go over the material very clearly and gave us the bullet point for all the things we learned.
 - He can make all things clear, the prof however, not so much
 - I did not have discussion with this TA
 - N/A
 - Neat handwriting, easy to follow notes, very responsive
 - nothing
 - Prepared and knowledgeable! Friendly and very smart
 - Sanjana was a very good TA for this course. She completely understood the subject topics, and explained to us concepts and practice problems that were very confusing during lecture. In my opinion, her discussion section was much more informative and understandable than any of the lectures. On top of that, she was extremely responsive over email or in office hours, and was even willing to meet with me outside of her office hours when I asked. She made this class much easier and I respect her greatly for helping the students out as much as she can. She showed the utmost care for the students in her discussion.
 - She is so smart, and responsible. that's all I want to say
 - She is very helpful.
 - She is very knowledgeable in the class subject, and is very willing to help students be successful in this course. Seems very enthusiastic in the subject.
 - She prepares a lot for our discussion.
 - 17 blank answer(s).
2. Do you have any recommendations for your TA that would help that person be a more effective TA in the future?
- good
 - I am not sure what she could do to improve.
 - Keep doing what she is doing. I like her style of discussion a lot and it works for us.
 - Know what the professor is aiming to put on the exams (I know he didn't share that information with you but other professors tell their TAs so it would've been nice if he did the same)
 - Maybe let more students have a chance to discuss problems during class.
 - N/A
 - No
 - No
 - no, best
 - none
 - nothing
 - nothing, good job
 - 20 blank answer(s).

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 161A LEC A (62285), Fall Qtr 2018

Responses: 73/175 (41.71%)

ATTENDANCE

1. How often did you attend discussion sections?

- 4 Never
- 2 Once
- 10 2 - 3 times
- 5 4 - 5 times
- 12 6 - 7 times
- 8 8 times
- 30 More than 8 times

2. How often did you meet with the T.A., outside of the section time, to discuss the course material?

- 41 Never
- 6 Once
- 8 2 - 3 times
- 1 4 - 5 times
- 3 6 - 7 times
- 4 8 times
- 6 More than 8 times

PRESENTATION

3. T.A. was competent in course material:

- 3 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 1 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 5 4 (OK or Average)
- 8 5
- 14 6
- 40 7 (Among Best)

4. T.A. was able to make presentations clearly:

- 3 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 1 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 5 4 (OK or Average)
- 6 5
- 18 6
- 38 7 (Among Best)

5. T.A. was responsive to students:

- 5 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 1 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 5 4 (OK or Average)
- 4 5
- 14 6
- 41 7 (Among Best)

6. T.A. was able to integrate the lecture and discussion material:

3 0 (N/A or Unsure)
1 1 (Among Worst)
0 2
0 3
5 4 (OK or Average)
4 5
15 6
43 7 (Among Best)

7. T.A. was present and on time for discussion sections/office hours:

6 0 (N/A or Unsure)
1 1 (Among Worst)
0 2
0 3
5 4 (OK or Average)
4 5
12 6
42 7 (Among Best)

8. The discussion sections were useful to the success of the course:

4 0 (N/A or Unsure)
1 1 (Among Worst)
0 2
0 3
7 4 (OK or Average)
3 5
15 6
41 7 (Among Best)

9. I would expect another course with this T.A. to be:

4 0 (N/A or Unsure)
1 1 (Among Worst)
0 2
0 3
8 4 (OK or Average)
4 5
15 6
39 7 (Among Best)

EFFECTIVENESS

10. Rate your T.A.'s general teaching effectiveness:

0 Poor
0 Inadequate
1 Less than adequate
3 Adequate
9 More than adequate
27 Very good
30 Excellent

COMMENTS

11. *<No question text>*

1. What were the strongest points of the TA in discussion section or office hours?

- -Very clear in instruction -lots of examples to understand -concise -confident
- clarified concepts thoroughly
- Clear and helpful
- Discussion
- Everything. She clearly highlighted the most important topics and went into detail on what we needed to know to be successful in the course.
- Gave very helpful examples that were directly related to how the test is structured.
- Great explanation of how to to the problems.
- Her review section for midterm 1 was really helpful and clear.
- her review session was very helpful!
- I loved her review session because she explains class materials easily so we could understand faster
- I really like both the TA, because they help me a lot in discussion section. I also appreciate the effort they made for review sections. The review sections help me a lot.
- Knows how to teach
- N/A
- n/a
- N/A
- Santana breaks everything down very clearly. She always is prepared for class and has a map of how the section will go. She knew the material extremely well and had a lot of knowledge about the course and tips for prepping for the exam.
- She goes over everything in detail making it easier for students to understand the concept.
- She has a way of teaching that makes seemingly complicated and convoluted topics seem easy and understandable. She is an excellent TA that knows the subject of Money and Banking inside and out.
- She is very clear and precise in her teachings. Understood a lot in her discussion section.
- She is very good at logic to teach us
- She knew how to give us notes in a way that would would understand
- She knew the material well and was able to answer all of my questions much better than Garfinkel could have ever done so. Very nice and welcoming to students Cares about her students and wants us to succeed Great handwriting
- She prepared what she was going to teach in discussion section by having her summary notes. She clearly understand the materials. She explained very well. She is very responsive to email. I do not need to wait so long for her response.
- She understood what we'd be tested on and explained those concepts very clearly.
- She was exceptional at explaining everything in detail and clarifying everything from the lecture
- She was good at teaching what we needed to know and was overall effective in reinforcing the material.
- She went over all important concepts and provided simpler solutions for all of the problem sets and practice exams. Based on all the material covered in discussion sections, I was very prepared for all of the exams and I scored well.
- TA is able to explain material clearly and lets you know what topics are going to be on tests
- The TA was super clear and good at teaching us what was needed. She was super straight to the point and concise and gave us a lot of tips on what to know and what we didn't need to know for the tests.
- Very careful on review session.
- Very thorough and knowledgeable in class material and makes sure students understand.

- Willing to go over material that would help us succeed in the class.
 - 41 blank answer(s).
2. Do you have any recommendations for your TA that would help that person be a more effective TA in the future?
- Because she has clear understanding about the materials and prepare by making notes, she should keep doing this in the future as well.
 - have more office hours
 - Host all of the review sessions please
 - Improve hand writing.
 - Keep this up
 - maybe provide some ppt to help us learn at home
 - N/A
 - N/A
 - N/A
 - n/a
 - N/A
 - N/A
 - N/A
 - N/A
 - NO
 - NO
 - no, she's doing great
 - Not really
 - possibly do notes on a tablet so that pdfs can be uploaded
 - use less shorthand/ abbreviations when explaining problems
 - 53 blank answer(s).

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 161A LEC A (62250), Spring Qtr 2018

Responses: 43/344 (12.5%)

ATTENDANCE

1. How often did you attend discussion sections?

- 8 Never
- 1 Once
- 4 2 - 3 times
- 10 4 - 5 times
- 4 6 - 7 times
- 2 8 times
- 11 More than 8 times

2. How often did you meet with the T.A., outside of the section time, to discuss the course material?

- 20 Never
- 4 Once
- 6 2 - 3 times
- 3 4 - 5 times
- 0 6 - 7 times
- 0 8 times
- 5 More than 8 times

PRESENTATION

3. T.A. was competent in course material:

- 8 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 1 3
- 5 4 (OK or Average)
- 4 5
- 6 6
- 15 7 (Among Best)

4. T.A. was able to make presentations clearly:

- 8 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 1 3
- 5 4 (OK or Average)
- 5 5
- 5 6
- 15 7 (Among Best)

5. T.A. was responsive to students:

- 8 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 1 3
- 5 4 (OK or Average)
- 5 5
- 5 6
- 15 7 (Among Best)

UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 161A LEC A (62250), Spring Qtr 2018

6. T.A. was able to integrate the lecture and discussion material:

8 0 (N/A or Unsure)
0 1 (Among Worst)
0 2
1 3
4 4 (OK or Average)
5 5
6 6
14 7 (Among Best)

7. T.A. was present and on time for discussion sections/office hours:

9 0 (N/A or Unsure)
0 1 (Among Worst)
0 2
1 3
4 4 (OK or Average)
5 5
5 6
15 7 (Among Best)

8. The discussion sections were useful to the success of the course:

8 0 (N/A or Unsure)
0 1 (Among Worst)
0 2
1 3
6 4 (OK or Average)
3 5
6 6
15 7 (Among Best)

9. I would expect another course with this T.A. to be:

8 0 (N/A or Unsure)
0 1 (Among Worst)
0 2
1 3
5 4 (OK or Average)
4 5
6 6
15 7 (Among Best)

EFFECTIVENESS

10. Rate your T.A.'s general teaching effectiveness:

1 Poor
0 Inadequate
0 Less than adequate
12 Adequate
5 More than adequate
6 Very good
13 Excellent

COMMENTS

11. *<No question text>*

1. What were the strongest points of the TA in discussion section or office hours?

- Explains topics really well that is easily understandable
 - GREAT EXPLANATION PATIENCE
 - na
 - patience
 - TA was very clear and organized. Discussions were ran well and she was able to communicate numerous topics. She was very patient and kind.
 - the examples
 - This TA provided a lot of helpful examples and made lecture materials easier to understand.
 - used graphs and took attendance
 - 35 blank answer(s).
2. Do you have any recommendations for your TA that would help that person be a more effective TA in the future?
- buy more markers, keep teaching, i think youre the best ta in the whole economics department
 - change the way of thinking when explaining problem to foreign students
 - I do not have any recommendations for this TA for the future.
 - na
 - None
 - step by step math problems would be helpful and explaining some formulas
 - 37 blank answer(s).

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 161A LEC A (62270), Fall Qtr 2017

Responses: 102/186 (54.84%)

ATTENDANCE

1. How often did you attend discussion sections?

6 Never
3 Once
11 2 - 3 times
10 4 - 5 times
17 6 - 7 times
15 8 times
35 More than 8 times

2. How often did you meet with the T.A., outside of the section time, to discuss the course material?

43 Never
14 Once
18 2 - 3 times
5 4 - 5 times
2 6 - 7 times
3 8 times
10 More than 8 times

PRESENTATION

3. T.A. was competent in course material:

8 0 (N/A or Unsure)
1 1 (Among Worst)
0 2
1 3
14 4 (OK or Average)
11 5
20 6
40 7 (Among Best)

4. T.A. was able to make presentations clearly:

7 0 (N/A or Unsure)
2 1 (Among Worst)
0 2
0 3
14 4 (OK or Average)
14 5
20 6
39 7 (Among Best)

5. T.A. was responsive to students:

10 0 (N/A or Unsure)
1 1 (Among Worst)
0 2
1 3
14 4 (OK or Average)
11 5
22 6
36 7 (Among Best)

UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 161A LEC A (62270), Fall Qtr 2017

6. T.A. was able to integrate the lecture and discussion material:

7 0 (N/A or Unsure)
2 1 (Among Worst)
0 2
0 3
14 4 (OK or Average)
12 5
20 6
39 7 (Among Best)

7. T.A. was present and on time for discussion sections/office hours:

8 0 (N/A or Unsure)
2 1 (Among Worst)
0 2
0 3
15 4 (OK or Average)
10 5
18 6
42 7 (Among Best)

8. The discussion sections were useful to the success of the course:

8 0 (N/A or Unsure)
2 1 (Among Worst)
0 2
0 3
13 4 (OK or Average)
14 5
20 6
38 7 (Among Best)

9. I would expect another course with this T.A. to be:

8 0 (N/A or Unsure)
2 1 (Among Worst)
0 2
0 3
15 4 (OK or Average)
12 5
19 6
39 7 (Among Best)

EFFECTIVENESS

10. Rate your T.A.'s general teaching effectiveness:

1 Poor
0 Inadequate
1 Less than adequate
20 Adequate
13 More than adequate
27 Very good
31 Excellent

COMMENTS

11. *<No question text>*

1. What were the strongest points of the TA in discussion section or office hours?

- clarified the stuff we learn in the lecture by points. useful
- clear presentation
- closely related to the class material
- Friendly to the people.
- Great instructor who summarized key points and made them very clear!
- Her instruction is so clear. And she uses many graphs when she teaches, which is really helpful to understand the contents.
- Her voice is very clear during the sections
- I did not have this TA for my discussion but I did go to her during her office hours. She does not explain the material in a clear and concise way. I had asked for a regrade on my exam because I wrote the same thing as the exam key and she claimed that it was just a simplification and that I answered incorrectly. Her grading does not match the course expectations.
- KIND
- Master of the course material and clear presentation. Attending her session is on a have-to-do list, which honestly I could learn a lot from it like many things professor told really quickly or just simply missed. And she provides complete solution for the problem sets and always got prepared for each session so yea she is definitely the perfect.
- NA
- na
- nice
- No ideas
- Sanjana did a very good job at giving overviews of the class lectures and focusing on information that we would expect on the problem sets, exams and finals. She went through material slowly and did practice problems outside of the problem sets that were also helpful.
- Sanjanas lecture is concise and clear. She is able to extract the essential key points from professors lecture. Her explanation of problems sheets is also helpful to prepare midterms.
- She's able to make me understand more about the material. Keep in pace and tell which are important and not. Manage schedule orderly every week. The first exam was graded harshly by her, but she become more generous in next one.
- She asnwers in a very accurate way.
- She demonstrated clear understanding of the course material and clearly taught lecture material; she narrowed down what material to focus on for exams
- She explains what students need to know for an exam and teaches material clearly.
- She has been amazing in going over the problems sets which have been imperative for me to improve my midterm exams.
- She helped reinforce any confusion from lecture. Her review sessions before exams.
- She provided a structured and more summarized content for us.
- She was clear and covered what was on the problem sets, which helped a lot on the finals. Her explanations were precise. I enjoyed her discussions.
- She was so great! Made sure we understood EVERYTHING and taught us the MOST important of everything! VERY CLEAR! GO SANJANA!
- She was very nice and attentive.
- She went over the material well and was attentive to questions people had.
- TA's very clear
- TA gives the conclusions about the class, which is very useful.
- The ta is very logical and knowledge. I will always go to the discussion section if I was confused in the class.

- Very helpful
 - Would break things down that seemed confusing in lecture and made it much more simpler to understand
 - 70 blank answer(s).
2. Do you have any recommendations for your TA that would help that person be a more effective TA in the future?
- -
 - By far one of the best TAs and your methods of teachings are perfect. Continue to teach the way you are already doing so
 - I cannot think of any recommendations for this TA
 - I do not have any serious advice to give.
 - I recommend to hold her own review sessions if she is the one grading because if she wants things written in a specific way, then the students should be given the opportunity to learn her expectations. I also recommend her to get off her high horse.
 - Keep up the good work.
 - Maybe go over material other than mostly problem sets, but moreso review general and perhaps difficult concepts from lecture.
 - More generous in grading from the first exam.
 - N/a
 - N/A
 - N/A
 - na
 - NA
 - nice
 - NO
 - no
 - No ideas
 - None.
 - Nope! Maybe being clearer on grading.
 - Nothing yet.
 - she's fine
 - Thank you.
 - Very good, I am so thank you for your help!
 - You're great!
 - YOU ARE AMAZING
 - 77 blank answer(s).

**Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 23 DIS A1 (62121),
Spring Qtr 2017**

Responses: 22/60 (36.67%)

ATTENDANCE

1. How often did you attend discussion sections?

- 1 Never
- 4 Once
- 3 2 - 3 times
- 4 4 - 5 times
- 1 6 - 7 times
- 0 8 times
- 6 More than 8 times

2. How often did you meet with the T.A., outside of the section time, to discuss the course material?

- 17 Never
- 1 Once
- 0 2 - 3 times
- 0 4 - 5 times
- 0 6 - 7 times
- 0 8 times
- 1 More than 8 times

PRESENTATION

3. T.A. was competent in course material:

- 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 1 3
- 2 4 (OK or Average)
- 1 5
- 7 6
- 7 7 (Among Best)

4. T.A. was able to make presentations clearly:

- 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 1 3
- 3 4 (OK or Average)
- 1 5
- 6 6
- 7 7 (Among Best)

5. T.A. was responsive to students:

- 4 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 2 3
- 1 4 (OK or Average)
- 2 5
- 2 6
- 8 7 (Among Best)

6. T.A. was able to integrate the lecture and discussion material:

- 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 1 3
- 0 4 (OK or Average)
- 2 5
- 6 6
- 9 7 (Among Best)

7. T.A. was present and on time for discussion sections/office hours:

- 2 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 1 3
- 2 4 (OK or Average)
- 2 5
- 3 6
- 8 7 (Among Best)

8. The discussion sections were useful to the success of the course:

- 4 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 1 2
- 3 3
- 1 4 (OK or Average)
- 2 5
- 1 6
- 7 7 (Among Best)

9. I would expect another course with this T.A. to be:

- 2 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 2 3
- 0 4 (OK or Average)
- 2 5
- 5 6
- 7 7 (Among Best)

EFFECTIVENESS

10. Rate your T.A.'s general teaching effectiveness:

- 0 Poor
- 0 Inadequate
- 0 Less than adequate
- 3 Adequate
- 4 More than adequate
- 6 Very good
- 6 Excellent

COMMENTS

11. *<No question text>*

1. What were the strongest points of the TA in discussion section or office hours?

- Clear
 - Cleared up any muddy points students might have
 - Covered all material concisely and provided a platform for any questions.
 - In depth, relevant examples
 - Knew the material
 - She came to class prepared with specific intentions for the day. We would go over very relevant/helpful examples and she would provide necessary and clear definitions. Midterm/final reviews were great! Loved that she would finish early sometimes and I would still leave feeling that the discussion was more helpful than lectures. Overall great TA.
 - She was prepared for the current and next week's lecture topics.
 - The TA goes over what is important in the class. The drawings and charts she draws really help.
 - 14 blank answer(s).
2. Do you have any recommendations for your TA that would help that person be a more effective TA in the future?
- I didn't go to discussion because of club conflicts, but she was a good TA.
 - N/A
 - N/A
 - Not really, no
 - Speak louder
 - 17 blank answer(s).

**Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 125 DIS A1 (62191),
Winter Qtr 2017**

Responses: 20/58 (34.48%)

ATTENDANCE

1. How often did you attend discussion sections?

- 0 Never
- 1 Once
- 2 2 - 3 times
- 3 4 - 5 times
- 3 6 - 7 times
- 0 8 times
- 11 More than 8 times

2. How often did you meet with the T.A., outside of the section time, to discuss the course material?

- 10 Never
- 6 Once
- 0 2 - 3 times
- 1 4 - 5 times
- 3 6 - 7 times
- 0 8 times
- 0 More than 8 times

PRESENTATION

3. T.A. was competent in course material:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 1 2
- 0 3
- 3 4 (OK or Average)
- 4 5
- 4 6
- 8 7 (Among Best)

4. T.A. was able to make presentations clearly:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 1 2
- 0 3
- 3 4 (OK or Average)
- 4 5
- 4 6
- 8 7 (Among Best)

5. T.A. was responsive to students:

- 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 1 2
- 0 3
- 2 4 (OK or Average)
- 3 5
- 5 6
- 8 7 (Among Best)

UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 125 DIS A1 (62191), Winter Qtr 2017

6. T.A. was able to integrate the lecture and discussion material:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 1 2
- 0 3
- 2 4 (OK or Average)
- 4 5
- 5 6
- 8 7 (Among Best)

7. T.A. was present and on time for discussion sections/office hours:

- 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 1 2
- 0 3
- 2 4 (OK or Average)
- 2 5
- 3 6
- 11 7 (Among Best)

8. The discussion sections were useful to the success of the course:

- 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 1 2
- 0 3
- 2 4 (OK or Average)
- 4 5
- 3 6
- 9 7 (Among Best)

9. I would expect another course with this T.A. to be:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 1 2
- 0 3
- 3 4 (OK or Average)
- 3 5
- 5 6
- 8 7 (Among Best)

EFFECTIVENESS

10. Rate your T.A.'s general teaching effectiveness:

- 0 Poor
- 0 Inadequate
- 1 Less than adequate
- 1 Adequate
- 6 More than adequate
- 5 Very good
- 6 Excellent

COMMENTS

11. *<No question text>*

1. What were the strongest points of the TA in discussion section or office hours?

- Clearly going over the material and last week's homework
 - Concise and to the point. Actually went over what was said in lecture and covered the confusing parts.
 - explaining materials and homework questions clearly
 - good lectures explains topics well clear understanding covers a lot of material during discussion eviws, homework, lecture
 - N/A
 - She's perhaps the smartest TA I've had in a long while. She's clear, concise, and has awesome handwriting!
 - She could supplement the details of what we learnt in class. That's super helpful for my study.
 - 13 blank answer(s).
2. Do you have any recommendations for your TA that would help that person be a more effective TA in the future?
- Honestly, she was perfect. You can tell that she's going to go far in life.
 - Maybe speak a little bit slowly.
 - N/A
 - possible more use of eviws during sections, one difficult thing is understanding how to get each output
 - To help with future homework
 - 15 blank answer(s).

**Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 125 DIS A2 (62192),
Winter Qtr 2017**

Responses: 22/48 (45.83%)

ATTENDANCE

1. How often did you attend discussion sections?

- 0 Never
- 1 Once
- 2 2 - 3 times
- 2 4 - 5 times
- 2 6 - 7 times
- 4 8 times
- 11 More than 8 times

2. How often did you meet with the T.A., outside of the section time, to discuss the course material?

- 12 Never
- 1 Once
- 1 2 - 3 times
- 4 4 - 5 times
- 1 6 - 7 times
- 1 8 times
- 2 More than 8 times

PRESENTATION

3. T.A. was competent in course material:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 5 4 (OK or Average)
- 5 5
- 4 6
- 8 7 (Among Best)

4. T.A. was able to make presentations clearly:

- 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 5 4 (OK or Average)
- 5 5
- 3 6
- 8 7 (Among Best)

5. T.A. was responsive to students:

- 2 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 5 4 (OK or Average)
- 3 5
- 6 6
- 6 7 (Among Best)

UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 125 DIS A2 (62192), Winter Qtr 2017

6. T.A. was able to integrate the lecture and discussion material:

- 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 1 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 4 4 (OK or Average)
- 5 5
- 4 6
- 7 7 (Among Best)

7. T.A. was present and on time for discussion sections/office hours:

- 3 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 3 4 (OK or Average)
- 3 5
- 3 6
- 10 7 (Among Best)

8. The discussion sections were useful to the success of the course:

- 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 4 4 (OK or Average)
- 7 5
- 2 6
- 8 7 (Among Best)

9. I would expect another course with this T.A. to be:

- 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 5 4 (OK or Average)
- 6 5
- 1 6
- 9 7 (Among Best)

EFFECTIVENESS

10. Rate your T.A.'s general teaching effectiveness:

- 0 Poor
- 0 Inadequate
- 0 Less than adequate
- 4 Adequate
- 5 More than adequate
- 10 Very good
- 3 Excellent

COMMENTS

11. *<No question text>*

1. What were the strongest points of the TA in discussion section or office hours?

UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 125 DIS A2 (62192), Winter Qtr 2017

- For the one time I went, she knew what she was talking about. Professor said discussion attendance was mandatory but she never took attendance so I stopped going.
 - Going through the homework was effective.
 - Go over homework problems and most important equations
 - she knew what she was teaching. cleared up any confusion from lecture
 - the way you reviewed before final and midterm the way you prepped us for test your ability to explain homework
 - 17 blank answer(s).
2. Do you have any recommendations for your TA that would help that person be a more effective TA in the future?
- maybe not rush so much during discussion sections.
 - none
 - Nothing comes to mind
 - Very good now
 - 18 blank answer(s).

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 161A DIS A2 (62272), Fall Qtr 2016

Responses: 15/48 (31.25%)

ATTENDANCE

1. How often did you attend discussion sections?

2	Never
0	Once
1	2 - 3 times
0	4 - 5 times
4	6 - 7 times
1	8 times
6	More than 8 times

2. How often did you meet with the T.A., outside of the section time, to discuss the course material?

9	Never
0	Once
3	2 - 3 times
0	4 - 5 times
0	6 - 7 times
0	8 times
2	More than 8 times

PRESENTATION

3. T.A. was competent in course material:

2	0 (N/A or Unsure)
0	1 (Among Worst)
0	2
0	3
1	4 (OK or Average)
2	5
2	6
7	7 (Among Best)

4. T.A. was able to make presentations clearly:

2	0 (N/A or Unsure)
0	1 (Among Worst)
0	2
0	3
1	4 (OK or Average)
1	5
2	6
8	7 (Among Best)

5. T.A. was responsive to students:

2	0 (N/A or Unsure)
0	1 (Among Worst)
0	2
1	3
0	4 (OK or Average)
3	5
2	6
6	7 (Among Best)

UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 161A DIS A2 (62272), Fall Qtr 2016

6. T.A. was able to integrate the lecture and discussion material:

- 2 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 0 4 (OK or Average)
- 0 5
- 3 6
- 9 7 (Among Best)

7. T.A. was present and on time for discussion sections/office hours:

- 2 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 0 4 (OK or Average)
- 0 5
- 4 6
- 8 7 (Among Best)

8. The discussion sections were useful to the success of the course:

- 2 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 0 4 (OK or Average)
- 2 5
- 1 6
- 9 7 (Among Best)

9. I would expect another course with this T.A. to be:

- 2 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 2 4 (OK or Average)
- 1 5
- 2 6
- 7 7 (Among Best)

EFFECTIVENESS

10. Rate your T.A.'s general teaching effectiveness:

- 0 Poor
- 0 Inadequate
- 0 Less than adequate
- 1 Adequate
- 3 More than adequate
- 3 Very good
- 6 Excellent

COMMENTS

11. *<No question text>*

1. What were the strongest points of the TA in discussion section or office hours?

UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 161A DIS A2 (62272), Fall Qtr 2016

- Clear and efficient.
 - One of the better discussions I have had. The material covered is super helpful
 - She was very clear and she was extremely organized when it came to her discussion section agendas.
 - The best Ta I have ever meet. very clear materials about the course and also have the supplement materials about the test. help me lot for the exams.
 - very easy to understand
 - 10 blank answer(s).
2. Do you have any recommendations for your TA that would help that person be a more effective TA in the future?
- Focus more on some tough questions, like spending more time on them.
 - NA
 - no
 - None, really
 - 11 blank answer(s).

**Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 165 DIS A1 (62301),
Spring Qtr 2016**

Responses: 13/40 (32.5%)

ATTENDANCE

1. How often did you attend discussion sections?

- 0 Never
- 0 Once
- 0 2 - 3 times
- 0 4 - 5 times
- 2 6 - 7 times
- 2 8 times
- 9 More than 8 times

2. How often did you meet with the T.A., outside of the section time, to discuss the course material?

- 7 Never
- 5 Once
- 1 2 - 3 times
- 0 4 - 5 times
- 0 6 - 7 times
- 0 8 times
- 0 More than 8 times

PRESENTATION

3. T.A. was competent in course material:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 1 4 (OK or Average)
- 2 5
- 0 6
- 10 7 (Among Best)

4. T.A. was able to make presentations clearly:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 1 4 (OK or Average)
- 2 5
- 1 6
- 9 7 (Among Best)

5. T.A. was responsive to students:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 1 3
- 1 4 (OK or Average)
- 1 5
- 0 6
- 10 7 (Among Best)

6. T.A. was able to integrate the lecture and discussion material:

0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
0 1 (Among Worst)
1 2
0 3
0 4 (OK or Average)
2 5
0 6
10 7 (Among Best)

7. T.A. was present and on time for discussion sections/office hours:

0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
0 1 (Among Worst)
0 2
0 3
1 4 (OK or Average)
2 5
0 6
10 7 (Among Best)

8. The discussion sections were useful to the success of the course:

0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
0 1 (Among Worst)
1 2
0 3
2 4 (OK or Average)
1 5
1 6
8 7 (Among Best)

9. I would expect another course with this T.A. to be:

1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
0 1 (Among Worst)
0 2
0 3
1 4 (OK or Average)
1 5
1 6
8 7 (Among Best)

EFFECTIVENESS

10. Rate your T.A.'s general teaching effectiveness:

0 Poor
0 Inadequate
0 Less than adequate
2 Adequate
1 More than adequate
3 Very good
7 Excellent

COMMENTS

11. *<No question text>*

1. What were the strongest points of the TA in discussion section or office hours?

- Good notes and good explanations with a decent pace.
 - I've had this TA a couple times before in previous Econ classes. She is definitely one of the best Econ TA's I've had in UCI. First of all, she really knows her material and her discussion sections are always productive. She answers emails very quickly. She emphasizes materials that she thinks are important and they usually end up on tests. She is so nice too!! Even in my previous classes with her, it was mainly because I went to her office hours, emailed her, and went to her discussion sections that I was able to do well in the classes I took.
 - She came to discussion prepared and very organized notes. She clarified topics discussed in class.
 - She explained some points clear, but I did not see how it related to the lecture.
 - she goes over the most important points in the class. she is so helpful. she is willing to help students
 - Very clear presentations
 - 7 blank answer(s).
2. Do you have any recommendations for your TA that would help that person be a more effective TA in the future?
- Be responsive to email with an efficient answer.
 - N/A
 - she is the best TA I have ever met
 - 10 blank answer(s).

**Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 165 DIS A6 (62306),
Spring Qtr 2016**

Responses: 13/41 (31.71%)

ATTENDANCE

1. How often did you attend discussion sections?

- 1 Never
- 0 Once
- 0 2 - 3 times
- 1 4 - 5 times
- 1 6 - 7 times
- 3 8 times
- 6 More than 8 times

2. How often did you meet with the T.A., outside of the section time, to discuss the course material?

- 8 Never
- 0 Once
- 1 2 - 3 times
- 1 4 - 5 times
- 0 6 - 7 times
- 0 8 times
- 1 More than 8 times

PRESENTATION

3. T.A. was competent in course material:

- 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 2 4 (OK or Average)
- 1 5
- 1 6
- 7 7 (Among Best)

4. T.A. was able to make presentations clearly:

- 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 1 4 (OK or Average)
- 2 5
- 0 6
- 8 7 (Among Best)

5. T.A. was responsive to students:

- 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 1 4 (OK or Average)
- 2 5
- 1 6
- 7 7 (Among Best)

6. T.A. was able to integrate the lecture and discussion material:

- 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 1 4 (OK or Average)
- 2 5
- 1 6
- 7 7 (Among Best)

7. T.A. was present and on time for discussion sections/office hours:

- 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 1 4 (OK or Average)
- 1 5
- 1 6
- 8 7 (Among Best)

8. The discussion sections were useful to the success of the course:

- 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 1 4 (OK or Average)
- 2 5
- 0 6
- 8 7 (Among Best)

9. I would expect another course with this T.A. to be:

- 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 2 4 (OK or Average)
- 1 5
- 1 6
- 7 7 (Among Best)

EFFECTIVENESS

10. Rate your T.A.'s general teaching effectiveness:

- 0 Poor
- 0 Inadequate
- 0 Less than adequate
- 1 Adequate
- 3 More than adequate
- 3 Very good
- 4 Excellent

COMMENTS

11. *<No question text>*

1. What were the strongest points of the TA in discussion section or office hours?

UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 165 DIS A6 (62306), Spring Qtr 2016

- great notes, very helpful, very nice
 - Helpful examples presented
 - wrote well, explained material exceptionally
 - 10 blank answer(s).
2. Do you have any recommendations for your TA that would help that person be a more effective TA in the future?
- keep doing what you're doing!
 - N/A
 - 11 blank answer(s).

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 161B DIS A1 (62311), Winter Qtr 2016

Responses: 9/35 (25.71%)

ATTENDANCE

1. How often did you attend discussion sections?

- 1 Never
- 0 Once
- 2 2 - 3 times
- 1 4 - 5 times
- 1 6 - 7 times
- 2 8 times
- 1 More than 8 times

2. How often did you meet with the T.A., outside of the section time, to discuss the course material?

- 3 Never
- 0 Once
- 1 2 - 3 times
- 1 4 - 5 times
- 2 6 - 7 times
- 1 8 times
- 0 More than 8 times

PRESENTATION

3. T.A. was competent in course material:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 5 4 (OK or Average)
- 0 5
- 1 6
- 2 7 (Among Best)

4. T.A. was able to make presentations clearly:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 5 4 (OK or Average)
- 0 5
- 1 6
- 2 7 (Among Best)

5. T.A. was responsive to students:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 4 4 (OK or Average)
- 1 5
- 1 6
- 2 7 (Among Best)

UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 161B DIS A1 (62311), Winter Qtr 2016

6. T.A. was able to integrate the lecture and discussion material:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 4 4 (OK or Average)
- 1 5
- 0 6
- 3 7 (Among Best)

7. T.A. was present and on time for discussion sections/office hours:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 4 4 (OK or Average)
- 1 5
- 0 6
- 3 7 (Among Best)

8. The discussion sections were useful to the success of the course:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 5 4 (OK or Average)
- 0 5
- 0 6
- 3 7 (Among Best)

9. I would expect another course with this T.A. to be:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 4 4 (OK or Average)
- 1 5
- 1 6
- 2 7 (Among Best)

EFFECTIVENESS

10. Rate your T.A.'s general teaching effectiveness:

- 0 Poor
- 0 Inadequate
- 0 Less than adequate
- 3 Adequate
- 1 More than adequate
- 1 Very good
- 3 Excellent

COMMENTS

11. *<No question text>*

1. What were the strongest points of the TA in discussion section or office hours?

UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 161B DIS A1 (62311), Winter Qtr 2016

- n/a
 - 8 blank answer(s).
2. Do you have any recommendations for your TA that would help that person be a more effective TA in the future?
- n/a
 - 8 blank answer(s).

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 161B DIS A4 (62314), Winter Qtr 2016

Responses: 3/19 (15.79%)

ATTENDANCE

1. How often did you attend discussion sections?

- ☐ 0 Never
- ☐ 1 Once
- ☐ 2 2 - 3 times
- ☐ 0 4 - 5 times
- ☐ 0 6 - 7 times
- ☐ 0 8 times
- ☐ 0 More than 8 times

2. How often did you meet with the T.A., outside of the section time, to discuss the course material?

- ☒ 3 Never
- ☐ 0 Once
- ☐ 0 2 - 3 times
- ☐ 0 4 - 5 times
- ☐ 0 6 - 7 times
- ☐ 0 8 times
- ☐ 0 More than 8 times

PRESENTATION

3. T.A. was competent in course material:

- ☐ 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- ☐ 0 1 (Among Worst)
- ☐ 0 2
- ☐ 0 3
- ☐ 0 4 (OK or Average)
- ☐ 0 5
- ☒ 2 6
- ☐ 1 7 (Among Best)

4. T.A. was able to make presentations clearly:

- ☐ 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- ☐ 0 1 (Among Worst)
- ☐ 0 2
- ☐ 0 3
- ☐ 0 4 (OK or Average)
- ☐ 0 5
- ☐ 1 6
- ☒ 2 7 (Among Best)

5. T.A. was responsive to students:

- ☐ 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- ☐ 0 1 (Among Worst)
- ☐ 0 2
- ☐ 0 3
- ☐ 0 4 (OK or Average)
- ☐ 0 5
- ☒ 2 6
- ☐ 1 7 (Among Best)

UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 161B DIS A4 (62314), Winter Qtr 2016

6. T.A. was able to integrate the lecture and discussion material:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 0 4 (OK or Average)
- 0 5
- 1 6
- 2 7 (Among Best)

7. T.A. was present and on time for discussion sections/office hours:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 0 4 (OK or Average)
- 0 5
- 1 6
- 2 7 (Among Best)

8. The discussion sections were useful to the success of the course:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 0 4 (OK or Average)
- 0 5
- 1 6
- 2 7 (Among Best)

9. I would expect another course with this T.A. to be:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 0 4 (OK or Average)
- 0 5
- 2 6
- 1 7 (Among Best)

EFFECTIVENESS

10. Rate your T.A.'s general teaching effectiveness:

- 0 Poor
- 0 Inadequate
- 0 Less than adequate
- 0 Adequate
- 1 More than adequate
- 1 Very good
- 1 Excellent

COMMENTS

11. *<No question text>*

1. What were the strongest points of the TA in discussion section or office hours?

UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 161B DIS A4 (62314), Winter Qtr 2016

- 3 blank answer(s).
2. Do you have any recommendations for your TA that would help that person be a more effective TA in the future?
- 3 blank answer(s).

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 161A DIS A3 (62273), Fall Qtr 2015

Responses: 20/44 (45.45%)

ATTENDANCE

1. How often did you attend discussion sections?

- 1 Never
- 1 Once
- 0 2 - 3 times
- 4 4 - 5 times
- 1 6 - 7 times
- 3 8 times
- 7 More than 8 times

2. How often did you meet with the T.A., outside of the section time, to discuss the course material?

- 10 Never
- 2 Once
- 2 2 - 3 times
- 0 4 - 5 times
- 0 6 - 7 times
- 0 8 times
- 3 More than 8 times

PRESENTATION

3. T.A. was competent in course material:

- 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 1 3
- 1 4 (OK or Average)
- 1 5
- 4 6
- 9 7 (Among Best)

4. T.A. was able to make presentations clearly:

- 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 1 3
- 1 4 (OK or Average)
- 1 5
- 3 6
- 10 7 (Among Best)

5. T.A. was responsive to students:

- 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 2 4 (OK or Average)
- 1 5
- 3 6
- 10 7 (Among Best)

UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 161A DIS A3 (62273), Fall Qtr 2015

6. T.A. was able to integrate the lecture and discussion material:

- 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 2 4 (OK or Average)
- 1 5
- 2 6
- 11 7 (Among Best)

7. T.A. was present and on time for discussion sections/office hours:

- 2 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 2 4 (OK or Average)
- 0 5
- 3 6
- 9 7 (Among Best)

8. The discussion sections were useful to the success of the course:

- 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 1 3
- 1 4 (OK or Average)
- 1 5
- 2 6
- 11 7 (Among Best)

9. I would expect another course with this T.A. to be:

- 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 2 4 (OK or Average)
- 1 5
- 3 6
- 10 7 (Among Best)

EFFECTIVENESS

10. Rate your T.A.'s general teaching effectiveness:

- 0 Poor
- 0 Inadequate
- 0 Less than adequate
- 2 Adequate
- 0 More than adequate
- 4 Very good
- 10 Excellent

COMMENTS

11. *<No question text>*

1. What were the strongest points of the TA in discussion section or office hours?

UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 161A DIS A3 (62273), Fall Qtr 2015

- Good presenter
 - Thank you for helping me went over the materials that I missed. It was so useful and I got A for the second midterm exam.
 - The TA organized the lecture material very clearly. Sanjana is one of the best TAs I had the pleasure to learn form so far.
 - 17 blank answer(s).
2. Do you have any recommendations for your TA that would help that person be a more effective TA in the future?
- so good so far
 - 19 blank answer(s).

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 161A DIS A4 (62274), Fall Qtr 2015

Responses: 14/45 (31.11%)

ATTENDANCE

1. How often did you attend discussion sections?

- 1 Never
- 0 Once
- 1 2 - 3 times
- 4 4 - 5 times
- 2 6 - 7 times
- 2 8 times
- 3 More than 8 times

2. How often did you meet with the T.A., outside of the section time, to discuss the course material?

- 6 Never
- 1 Once
- 3 2 - 3 times
- 2 4 - 5 times
- 0 6 - 7 times
- 0 8 times
- 1 More than 8 times

PRESENTATION

3. T.A. was competent in course material:

- 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 1 3
- 3 4 (OK or Average)
- 1 5
- 2 6
- 5 7 (Among Best)

4. T.A. was able to make presentations clearly:

- 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 4 4 (OK or Average)
- 0 5
- 3 6
- 5 7 (Among Best)

5. T.A. was responsive to students:

- 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 4 4 (OK or Average)
- 0 5
- 2 6
- 6 7 (Among Best)

UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 161A DIS A4 (62274), Fall Qtr 2015

6. T.A. was able to integrate the lecture and discussion material:

- 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 1 3
- 3 4 (OK or Average)
- 2 5
- 1 6
- 5 7 (Among Best)

7. T.A. was present and on time for discussion sections/office hours:

- 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 1 3
- 2 4 (OK or Average)
- 0 5
- 2 6
- 6 7 (Among Best)

8. The discussion sections were useful to the success of the course:

- 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 1 3
- 3 4 (OK or Average)
- 0 5
- 1 6
- 6 7 (Among Best)

9. I would expect another course with this T.A. to be:

- 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 1 3
- 2 4 (OK or Average)
- 0 5
- 2 6
- 6 7 (Among Best)

EFFECTIVENESS

10. Rate your T.A.'s general teaching effectiveness:

- 0 Poor
- 0 Inadequate
- 0 Less than adequate
- 4 Adequate
- 3 More than adequate
- 2 Very good
- 4 Excellent

COMMENTS

11. *<No question text>*

1. What were the strongest points of the TA in discussion section or office hours?

UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 161A DIS A4 (62274), Fall Qtr 2015

- concise and fair
 - none
 - She clearly clarifies class materials and gives excellent answer for problem sets
 - She is the best. She always list the key points and materials on the board and was really clear and easy to follow all the time.
 - 10 blank answer(s).
2. Do you have any recommendations for your TA that would help that person be a more effective TA in the future?
- Best TA ever
 - more enthusiasm
 - none
 - 11 blank answer(s).

**Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 140 DIS A2 (62182),
Spring Qtr 2015**

Responses: 20/65 (30.77%)

ATTENDANCE

1. How often did you attend discussion sections?

- 0 Never
- 0 Once
- 1 2 - 3 times
- 1 4 - 5 times
- 1 6 - 7 times
- 4 8 times
- 13 More than 8 times

2. How often did you meet with the T.A., outside of the section time, to discuss the course material?

- 12 Never
- 1 Once
- 2 2 - 3 times
- 2 4 - 5 times
- 0 6 - 7 times
- 0 8 times
- 3 More than 8 times

PRESENTATION

3. T.A. was competent in course material:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 2 3
- 1 4 (OK or Average)
- 2 5
- 4 6
- 11 7 (Among Best)

4. T.A. was able to make presentations clearly:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 2 3
- 1 4 (OK or Average)
- 3 5
- 3 6
- 11 7 (Among Best)

5. T.A. was responsive to students:

- 2 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 1 2
- 0 3
- 0 4 (OK or Average)
- 3 5
- 4 6
- 10 7 (Among Best)

6. T.A. was able to integrate the lecture and discussion material:

0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
0 1 (Among Worst)
0 2
0 3
3 4 (OK or Average)
2 5
3 6
12 7 (Among Best)

7. T.A. was present and on time for discussion sections/office hours:

1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
0 1 (Among Worst)
0 2
0 3
2 4 (OK or Average)
1 5
3 6
13 7 (Among Best)

8. The discussion sections were useful to the success of the course:

0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
0 1 (Among Worst)
0 2
0 3
3 4 (OK or Average)
2 5
4 6
11 7 (Among Best)

9. I would expect another course with this T.A. to be:

1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
0 1 (Among Worst)
0 2
1 3
1 4 (OK or Average)
1 5
5 6
10 7 (Among Best)

EFFECTIVENESS

10. Rate your T.A.'s general teaching effectiveness:

0 Poor
0 Inadequate
0 Less than adequate
3 Adequate
4 More than adequate
3 Very good
10 Excellent

COMMENTS

11. *<No question text>*

1. What were the strongest points of the TA in discussion section or office hours?

- All your lesson plans were great! I learned a lot from you and you helped me understand homework problems.
 - Concise and detailed examples
 - Everything was discussed
 - Gave extra practice problems for the test
 - n/a
 - Never stuttered or made errors. Very specific and helpful. Would make a great professor.
 - No idea
 - Organized and clear explanation
 - She is organized and helpful. Thanks to her !
 - 11 blank answer(s).
2. Do you have any recommendations for your TA that would help that person be a more effective TA in the future?
- Good job in doing what you did this quarter. You went really fast but it was ok as i was able to keep up
 - If anything, it may help people sitting in the back if she spoke louder. It wasn't a concern for me since I sat in the front, but there were a couple times someone mentioned her speaking up more.
 - I wish you would have had a lesson plan for our last discussion. I was hoping for a review. I think it would have been helpful because I like how you teach the material.
 - Keep up the work
 - n/a
 - NA
 - No idea
 - Please speak up in discussions.
 - Thank you.
 - 11 blank answer(s).

**Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 140 DIS A3 (62183),
Spring Qtr 2015**

Responses: 23/59 (38.98%)

ATTENDANCE

1. How often did you attend discussion sections?

- 0 Never
- 0 Once
- 0 2 - 3 times
- 0 4 - 5 times
- 1 6 - 7 times
- 5 8 times
- 16 More than 8 times

2. How often did you meet with the T.A., outside of the section time, to discuss the course material?

- 17 Never
- 2 Once
- 1 2 - 3 times
- 1 4 - 5 times
- 1 6 - 7 times
- 0 8 times
- 0 More than 8 times

PRESENTATION

3. T.A. was competent in course material:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 3 4 (OK or Average)
- 3 5
- 1 6
- 15 7 (Among Best)

4. T.A. was able to make presentations clearly:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 2 4 (OK or Average)
- 4 5
- 2 6
- 14 7 (Among Best)

5. T.A. was responsive to students:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 2 4 (OK or Average)
- 4 5
- 1 6
- 15 7 (Among Best)

6. T.A. was able to integrate the lecture and discussion material:

0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
0 1 (Among Worst)
0 2
0 3
3 4 (OK or Average)
3 5
1 6
15 7 (Among Best)

7. T.A. was present and on time for discussion sections/office hours:

0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
0 1 (Among Worst)
0 2
0 3
2 4 (OK or Average)
3 5
1 6
16 7 (Among Best)

8. The discussion sections were useful to the success of the course:

0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
0 1 (Among Worst)
0 2
0 3
3 4 (OK or Average)
3 5
1 6
15 7 (Among Best)

9. I would expect another course with this T.A. to be:

0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
0 1 (Among Worst)
0 2
0 3
2 4 (OK or Average)
3 5
2 6
15 7 (Among Best)

EFFECTIVENESS

10. Rate your T.A.'s general teaching effectiveness:

0 Poor
0 Inadequate
0 Less than adequate
0 Adequate
3 More than adequate
4 Very good
15 Excellent

COMMENTS

11. *<No question text>*

1. What were the strongest points of the TA in discussion section or office hours?

UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 140 DIS A3 (62183), Spring Qtr 2015

- Always responded to my email questions and explained all well!
 - clear
 - Covered all material.
 - She condenses the material into the main ideas really well. It really helped me have a better understanding of the materials from class. I like how she organizes what to write on the board because it was helpful for me.
 - She did a great job summarizing what we learned during lecture
 - Super helpful notes and examples, clear structure.
 - Very clear, helpful, and had a passion for helping students.
 - 16 blank answer(s).
2. Do you have any recommendations for your TA that would help that person be a more effective TA in the future?
- Maybe teach a bit slower, but besides that you helped much more than all of my lectures!
 - N/A
 - The handouts given in class were not that effective. I never used or looked at them
 - 20 blank answer(s).

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 161A DIS A1 (62281), Winter Qtr 2015

Responses: 15/49 (30.61%)

ATTENDANCE

1. How often did you attend discussion sections?

- 0 Never
- 0 Once
- 4 2 - 3 times
- 3 4 - 5 times
- 1 6 - 7 times
- 1 8 times
- 6 More than 8 times

2. How often did you meet with the T.A., outside of the section time, to discuss the course material?

- 11 Never
- 4 Once
- 0 2 - 3 times
- 0 4 - 5 times
- 0 6 - 7 times
- 0 8 times
- 0 More than 8 times

PRESENTATION

3. T.A. was competent in course material:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 1 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 4 4 (OK or Average)
- 2 5
- 1 6
- 7 7 (Among Best)

4. T.A. was able to make presentations clearly:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 1 3
- 3 4 (OK or Average)
- 2 5
- 2 6
- 7 7 (Among Best)

5. T.A. was responsive to students:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 1 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 4 4 (OK or Average)
- 2 5
- 1 6
- 7 7 (Among Best)

UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 161A DIS A1 (62281), Winter Qtr 2015

6. T.A. was able to integrate the lecture and discussion material:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 1 2
- 0 3
- 3 4 (OK or Average)
- 3 5
- 1 6
- 6 7 (Among Best)

7. T.A. was present and on time for discussion sections/office hours:

- 2 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 1 2
- 1 3
- 2 4 (OK or Average)
- 3 5
- 0 6
- 6 7 (Among Best)

8. The discussion sections were useful to the success of the course:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 1 2
- 0 3
- 4 4 (OK or Average)
- 1 5
- 0 6
- 9 7 (Among Best)

9. I would expect another course with this T.A. to be:

- 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 1 1 (Among Worst)
- 1 2
- 0 3
- 2 4 (OK or Average)
- 1 5
- 0 6
- 9 7 (Among Best)

EFFECTIVENESS

10. Rate your T.A.'s general teaching effectiveness:

- 0 Poor
- 0 Inadequate
- 1 Less than adequate
- 3 Adequate
- 2 More than adequate
- 5 Very good
- 4 Excellent

COMMENTS

11. *<No question text>*

1. What were the strongest points of the TA in discussion section or office hours?

- Clear notes and went over each topic in details
 - HELPFUL E-MAIL
 - n/a
 - Sanjana always came to class prepared and had a set of concepts to cover. She would go over key topics and explain them thoroughly. Additionally, she always had many examples to help us apply concepts. Her organizing skills were fantastic, as she still had time for students to ask further questions.
 - Sanjana presents the information in a straightforward manner so its rather easy to understand.
 - The TA was very nice and helpful. She knows what is she doing and prepare before the discussion.
 - 9 blank answer(s).
2. Do you have any recommendations for your TA that would help that person be a more effective TA in the future?
- It would help if she would attempt to push students into answering or corresponding back. In addition, it would also help if she would be a little more enthusiastic when holding the discussion sections.
 - n/a
 - NO
 - 12 blank answer(s).

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 161A DIS A2 (62282), Winter Qtr 2015

Responses: 8/36 (22.22%)

ATTENDANCE

1. How often did you attend discussion sections?

- 1 Never
- 0 Once
- 1 2 - 3 times
- 0 4 - 5 times
- 0 6 - 7 times
- 2 8 times
- 4 More than 8 times

2. How often did you meet with the T.A., outside of the section time, to discuss the course material?

- 4 Never
- 1 Once
- 1 2 - 3 times
- 1 4 - 5 times
- 0 6 - 7 times
- 1 8 times
- 0 More than 8 times

PRESENTATION

3. T.A. was competent in course material:

- 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 2 4 (OK or Average)
- 0 5
- 2 6
- 3 7 (Among Best)

4. T.A. was able to make presentations clearly:

- 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 2 4 (OK or Average)
- 0 5
- 3 6
- 2 7 (Among Best)

5. T.A. was responsive to students:

- 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 1 4 (OK or Average)
- 1 5
- 1 6
- 4 7 (Among Best)

UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 161A DIS A2 (62282), Winter Qtr 2015

6. T.A. was able to integrate the lecture and discussion material:

- 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 1 4 (OK or Average)
- 2 5
- 2 6
- 2 7 (Among Best)

7. T.A. was present and on time for discussion sections/office hours:

- 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 1 4 (OK or Average)
- 1 5
- 2 6
- 3 7 (Among Best)

8. The discussion sections were useful to the success of the course:

- 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 1 4 (OK or Average)
- 1 5
- 2 6
- 3 7 (Among Best)

9. I would expect another course with this T.A. to be:

- 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 1 4 (OK or Average)
- 1 5
- 2 6
- 3 7 (Among Best)

EFFECTIVENESS

10. Rate your T.A.'s general teaching effectiveness:

- 0 Poor
- 0 Inadequate
- 0 Less than adequate
- 2 Adequate
- 0 More than adequate
- 5 Very good
- 1 Excellent

COMMENTS

11. *<No question text>*

1. What were the strongest points of the TA in discussion section or office hours?

UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 161A DIS A2 (62282), Winter Qtr 2015

- Very organized and provided helpful examples.
 - 7 blank answer(s).
2. Do you have any recommendations for your TA that would help that person be a more effective TA in the future?
- 8 blank answer(s).

**Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 140 DIS A4 (62194),
Fall Qtr 2014**

Responses: 13/42 (30.95%)

ATTENDANCE

1. How often did you attend discussion sections?

- ☐ 0 Never
- ☐ 0 Once
- ☐ 0 2 - 3 times
- ☐ 0 4 - 5 times
- ☐ 0 6 - 7 times
- ☒ 4 8 times
- ☐ 9 More than 8 times

2. How often did you meet with the T.A., outside of the section time, to discuss the course material?

- ☒ 7 Never
- ☒ 3 Once
- ☒ 3 2 - 3 times
- ☐ 0 4 - 5 times
- ☐ 0 6 - 7 times
- ☐ 0 8 times
- ☐ 0 More than 8 times

PRESENTATION

3. T.A. was competent in course material:

- ☐ 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- ☐ 0 1 (Among Worst)
- ☐ 0 2
- ☐ 0 3
- ☒ 3 4 (OK or Average)
- ☐ 1 5
- ☒ 3 6
- ☒ 6 7 (Among Best)

4. T.A. was able to make presentations clearly:

- ☐ 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- ☐ 0 1 (Among Worst)
- ☐ 0 2
- ☐ 1 3
- ☒ 2 4 (OK or Average)
- ☒ 2 5
- ☒ 4 6
- ☒ 4 7 (Among Best)

5. T.A. was responsive to students:

- ☐ 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- ☐ 0 1 (Among Worst)
- ☐ 0 2
- ☐ 1 3
- ☒ 2 4 (OK or Average)
- ☐ 1 5
- ☒ 4 6
- ☒ 5 7 (Among Best)

UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 140 DIS A4 (62194), Fall Qtr 2014

6. T.A. was able to integrate the lecture and discussion material:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 3 4 (OK or Average)
- 2 5
- 3 6
- 5 7 (Among Best)

7. T.A. was present and on time for discussion sections/office hours:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 2 4 (OK or Average)
- 0 5
- 3 6
- 8 7 (Among Best)

8. The discussion sections were useful to the success of the course:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 3 4 (OK or Average)
- 1 5
- 4 6
- 5 7 (Among Best)

9. I would expect another course with this T.A. to be:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 1 3
- 2 4 (OK or Average)
- 0 5
- 4 6
- 5 7 (Among Best)

EFFECTIVENESS

10. Rate your T.A.'s general teaching effectiveness:

- 0 Poor
- 0 Inadequate
- 0 Less than adequate
- 2 Adequate
- 2 More than adequate
- 3 Very good
- 6 Excellent

COMMENTS

11. *<No question text>*

1. What were the strongest points of the TA in discussion section or office hours?

- Accuracy and kindness
 - Always responded very quickly to any questions. Gave very clear diagrams that helped clear up misunderstandings about lecture material. Helped explain things clearly but without giving away the answer outright.
 - Helped go over the main topics that is needed to be focus on in the subject.
 - Her notes were always very organized. She made every concept very understandable. she also emphasized the differences between concepts so we wouldn't be confused.
 - Her strongest points were being able to provide us the most important topics of the book/lectures and giving us plenty of examples that would help us on homework and exams. Her writing was very neat so it was easy to follow along.
 - n/a
 - Very good at summarizing the main points from the lecture. It helped to see many examples.
 - 6 blank answer(s).
2. Do you have any recommendations for your TA that would help that person be a more effective TA in the future?
- Keep doing what you're doing!
 - More interactive. You can ask more questions to students.
 - n/a
 - None come to mind, thanks for the great quarter!
 - She was extremely helpful during the discussions and should keep her teaching techniques the same.
 - You're doing a great job! Keep it up!
 - 7 blank answer(s).

**Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 140 DIS A6 (62196),
Fall Qtr 2014**

Responses: 15/38 (39.47%)

ATTENDANCE

1. How often did you attend discussion sections?

- 0 Never
- 0 Once
- 0 2 - 3 times
- 1 4 - 5 times
- 3 6 - 7 times
- 2 8 times
- 9 More than 8 times

2. How often did you meet with the T.A., outside of the section time, to discuss the course material?

- 6 Never
- 3 Once
- 2 2 - 3 times
- 0 4 - 5 times
- 1 6 - 7 times
- 1 8 times
- 1 More than 8 times

PRESENTATION

3. T.A. was competent in course material:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 1 3
- 1 4 (OK or Average)
- 0 5
- 2 6
- 11 7 (Among Best)

4. T.A. was able to make presentations clearly:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 1 3
- 0 4 (OK or Average)
- 2 5
- 3 6
- 9 7 (Among Best)

5. T.A. was responsive to students:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 1 4 (OK or Average)
- 2 5
- 1 6
- 11 7 (Among Best)

UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 140 DIS A6 (62196), Fall Qtr 2014

6. T.A. was able to integrate the lecture and discussion material:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 1 3
- 0 4 (OK or Average)
- 2 5
- 3 6
- 9 7 (Among Best)

7. T.A. was present and on time for discussion sections/office hours:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 1 3
- 0 4 (OK or Average)
- 2 5
- 2 6
- 10 7 (Among Best)

8. The discussion sections were useful to the success of the course:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 1 3
- 1 4 (OK or Average)
- 1 5
- 2 6
- 10 7 (Among Best)

9. I would expect another course with this T.A. to be:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 1 3
- 0 4 (OK or Average)
- 2 5
- 3 6
- 9 7 (Among Best)

EFFECTIVENESS

10. Rate your T.A.'s general teaching effectiveness:

- 0 Poor
- 0 Inadequate
- 1 Less than adequate
- 1 Adequate
- 1 More than adequate
- 2 Very good
- 10 Excellent

COMMENTS

11. *<No question text>*

1. What were the strongest points of the TA in discussion section or office hours?

- Discussions were helpful for the completion of homeworks! Very responsive and quick with emails. Thank you!!
 - Presented a great overview of the material discussed in class.
 - Really clear. And the note on blackboard is really organized
 - She goes into detail when going over topics discussed in lecture. She is helpful and reliable. She solves problems step by step so that students understand the concept.
 - She really knew the material and had some good ways to remember key concepts. Very organized with neat handwriting- always well-prepared for discussion.
 - TA Sanjana is very responsible for this discussion, she always come well prepared, her section is very helpful.
 - WOW. she has been one of the best TA i've had for any econ sections. she has a clear knowledge of what she wants to present to us. the way she teaches us is just so clear and very helpful. She is easy to approach and talk. She is very understanding and will work with students to help them with their needs. ONE OF MY FAVORITE BY FAR. THANK YOU
 - 8 blank answer(s).
2. Do you have any recommendations for your TA that would help that person be a more effective TA in the future?
- I think this section if perfect.
 - I would recommend her to speak a little louder.
 - Teach more excersises
 - Try to engage the class more. Other than that, great job!
 - 11 blank answer(s).