

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 161A LEC A (62285), Fall Qtr 2018

Responses: 73/175 (41.71%)

ATTENDANCE

1. How often did you attend discussion sections?

- 4 Never
- 2 Once
- 10 2 - 3 times
- 5 4 - 5 times
- 12 6 - 7 times
- 8 8 times
- 30 More than 8 times

2. How often did you meet with the T.A., outside of the section time, to discuss the course material?

- 41 Never
- 6 Once
- 8 2 - 3 times
- 1 4 - 5 times
- 3 6 - 7 times
- 4 8 times
- 6 More than 8 times

PRESENTATION

3. T.A. was competent in course material:

- 3 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 1 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 5 4 (OK or Average)
- 8 5
- 14 6
- 40 7 (Among Best)

4. T.A. was able to make presentations clearly:

- 3 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 1 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 5 4 (OK or Average)
- 6 5
- 18 6
- 38 7 (Among Best)

5. T.A. was responsive to students:

- 5 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 1 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 5 4 (OK or Average)
- 4 5
- 14 6
- 41 7 (Among Best)

UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 161A LEC A (62285), Fall Qtr 2018

6. T.A. was able to integrate the lecture and discussion material:

3 0 (N/A or Unsure)
1 1 (Among Worst)
0 2
0 3
5 4 (OK or Average)
4 5
15 6
43 7 (Among Best)

7. T.A. was present and on time for discussion sections/office hours:

6 0 (N/A or Unsure)
1 1 (Among Worst)
0 2
0 3
5 4 (OK or Average)
4 5
12 6
42 7 (Among Best)

8. The discussion sections were useful to the success of the course:

4 0 (N/A or Unsure)
1 1 (Among Worst)
0 2
0 3
7 4 (OK or Average)
3 5
15 6
41 7 (Among Best)

9. I would expect another course with this T.A. to be:

4 0 (N/A or Unsure)
1 1 (Among Worst)
0 2
0 3
8 4 (OK or Average)
4 5
15 6
39 7 (Among Best)

EFFECTIVENESS

10. Rate your T.A.'s general teaching effectiveness:

0 Poor
0 Inadequate
1 Less than adequate
3 Adequate
9 More than adequate
27 Very good
30 Excellent

COMMENTS

11. *<No question text>*

1. What were the strongest points of the TA in discussion section or office hours?

UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 161A LEC A (62285), Fall Qtr 2018

- -Very clear in instruction -lots of examples to understand -concise -confident
- clarified concepts thoroughly
- Clear and helpful
- Discussion
- Everything. She clearly highlighted the most important topics and went into detail on what we needed to know to be successful in the course.
- Gave very helpful examples that were directly related to how the test is structured.
- Great explanation of how to to the problems.
- Her review section for midterm 1 was really helpful and clear.
- her review session was very helpful!
- I loved her review session because she explains class materials easily so we could understand faster
- I really like both the TA, because they help me a lot in discussion section. I also appreciate the effort they made for review sections. The review sections help me a lot.
- Knows how to teach
- N/A
- n/a
- N/A
- Santana breaks everything down very clearly. She always is prepared for class and has a map of how the section will go. She knew the material extremely well and had a lot of knowledge about the course and tips for prepping for the exam.
- She goes over everything in detail making it easier for students to understand the concept.
- She has a way of teaching that makes seemingly complicated and convoluted topics seem easy and understandable. She is an excellent TA that knows the subject of Money and Banking inside and out.
- She is very clear and precise in her teachings. Understood a lot in her discussion section.
- She is very good at logic to teach us
- She knew how to give us notes in a way that would would understand
- She knew the material well and was able to answer all of my questions much better than Garfinkel could have ever done so. Very nice and welcoming to students Cares about her students and wants us to succeed Great handwriting
- She prepared what she was going to teach in discussion section by having her summary notes. She clearly understand the materials. She explained very well. She is very responsive to email. I do not need to wait so long for her response.
- She understood what we'd be tested on and explained those concepts very clearly.
- She was exceptional at explaining everything in detail and clarifying everything from the lecture
- She was good at teaching what we needed to know and was overall effective in reinforcing the material.
- She went over all important concepts and provided simpler solutions for all of the problem sets and practice exams. Based on all the material covered in discussion sections, I was very prepared for all of the exams and I scored well.
- TA is able to explain material clearly and lets you know what topics are going to be on tests
- The TA was super clear and good at teaching us what was needed. She was super straight to the point and concise and gave us a lot of tips on what to know and what we didn't need to know for the tests.
- Very careful on review session.
- Very thorough and knowledgeable in class material and makes sure students understand.

UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 161A LEC A (62285), Fall Qtr 2018

- Willing to go over material that would help us succeed in the class.
 - 41 blank answer(s).
2. Do you have any recommendations for your TA that would help that person be a more effective TA in the future?
- Because she has clear understanding about the materials and prepare by making notes, she should keep doing this in the future as well.
 - have more office hours
 - Host all of the review sessions please
 - Improve hand writing.
 - Keep this up
 - maybe provide some ppt to help us learn at home
 - N/A
 - N/A
 - N/A
 - n/a
 - N/A
 - N/A
 - N/A
 - N/A
 - NO
 - NO
 - no, she's doing great
 - Not really
 - possibly do notes on a tablet so that pdfs can be uploaded
 - use less shorthand/ abbreviations when explaining problems
 - 53 blank answer(s).