

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 161A DIS A2
(62272), Fall Qtr 2016

Responses: 15/48 (31.25%)

ATTENDANCE

1. How often did you attend discussion sections?
 - 2 Never
 - 0 Once
 - 1 2 - 3 times
 - 0 4 - 5 times
 - 4 6 - 7 times
 - 1 8 times
 - 6 More than 8 times

2. How often did you meet with the T.A., outside of the section time, to discuss the course material?
 - 9 Never
 - 0 Once
 - 3 2 - 3 times
 - 0 4 - 5 times
 - 0 6 - 7 times
 - 0 8 times
 - 2 More than 8 times

PRESENTATION

3. T.A. was competent in course material:
 - 2 0 (N/A or Unsure)
 - 0 1 (Among Worst)
 - 0 2
 - 0 3
 - 1 4 (OK or Average)
 - 2 5
 - 2 6
 - 7 7 (Among Best)

4. T.A. was able to make presentations clearly:
 - 2 0 (N/A or Unsure)
 - 0 1 (Among Worst)
 - 0 2
 - 0 3
 - 1 4 (OK or Average)
 - 1 5
 - 2 6
 - 8 7 (Among Best)

5. T.A. was responsive to students:
 - 2 0 (N/A or Unsure)
 - 0 1 (Among Worst)
 - 0 2
 - 1 3
 - 0 4 (OK or Average)
 - 3 5
 - 2 6
 - 6 7 (Among Best)

UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 161A DIS A2 (62272), Fall Qtr 2016

6. T.A. was able to integrate the lecture and discussion material:

- 2 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 0 4 (OK or Average)
- 0 5
- 3 6
- 9 7 (Among Best)

7. T.A. was present and on time for discussion sections/office hours:

- 2 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 0 4 (OK or Average)
- 0 5
- 4 6
- 8 7 (Among Best)

8. The discussion sections were useful to the success of the course:

- 2 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 0 4 (OK or Average)
- 2 5
- 1 6
- 9 7 (Among Best)

9. I would expect another course with this T.A. to be:

- 2 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 2 4 (OK or Average)
- 1 5
- 2 6
- 7 7 (Among Best)

EFFECTIVENESS

10. Rate your T.A.'s general teaching effectiveness:

- 0 Poor
- 0 Inadequate
- 0 Less than adequate
- 1 Adequate
- 3 More than adequate
- 3 Very good
- 6 Excellent

COMMENTS

11. *<No question text>*

1. What were the strongest points of the TA in discussion section or office hours?

UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 161A DIS A2 (62272), Fall Qtr 2016

- Clear and efficient.
 - One of the better discussions I have had. The material covered is super helpful
 - She was very clear and she was extremely organized when it came to her discussion section agendas.
 - The best Ta I have ever meet. very clear materials about the course and also have the supplement materials about the test. help me lot for the exams.
 - very easy to understand
 - 10 blank answer(s).
2. Do you have any recommendations for your TA that would help that person be a more effective TA in the future?
- Focus more on some tough questions, like spending more time on them.
 - NA
 - no
 - None, really
 - 11 blank answer(s).