

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 140 DIS A4 (62194),
Fall Qtr 2014

Responses: 13/42 (30.95%)

ATTENDANCE

1. How often did you attend discussion sections?

- 0 Never
- 0 Once
- 0 2 - 3 times
- 0 4 - 5 times
- 0 6 - 7 times
- 4 8 times
- 9 More than 8 times

2. How often did you meet with the T.A., outside of the section time, to discuss the course material?

- 7 Never
- 3 Once
- 3 2 - 3 times
- 0 4 - 5 times
- 0 6 - 7 times
- 0 8 times
- 0 More than 8 times

PRESENTATION

3. T.A. was competent in course material:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 3 4 (OK or Average)
- 1 5
- 3 6
- 6 7 (Among Best)

4. T.A. was able to make presentations clearly:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 1 3
- 2 4 (OK or Average)
- 2 5
- 4 6
- 4 7 (Among Best)

5. T.A. was responsive to students:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 1 3
- 2 4 (OK or Average)
- 1 5
- 4 6
- 5 7 (Among Best)

UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 140 DIS A4 (62194), Fall Qtr 2014

6. T.A. was able to integrate the lecture and discussion material:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 3 4 (OK or Average)
- 2 5
- 3 6
- 5 7 (Among Best)

7. T.A. was present and on time for discussion sections/office hours:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 2 4 (OK or Average)
- 0 5
- 3 6
- 8 7 (Among Best)

8. The discussion sections were useful to the success of the course:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 3 4 (OK or Average)
- 1 5
- 4 6
- 5 7 (Among Best)

9. I would expect another course with this T.A. to be:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 1 3
- 2 4 (OK or Average)
- 0 5
- 4 6
- 5 7 (Among Best)

EFFECTIVENESS

10. Rate your T.A.'s general teaching effectiveness:

- 0 Poor
- 0 Inadequate
- 0 Less than adequate
- 2 Adequate
- 2 More than adequate
- 3 Very good
- 6 Excellent

COMMENTS

11. *<No question text>*

1. What were the strongest points of the TA in discussion section or office hours?

UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 140 DIS A4 (62194), Fall Qtr 2014

- Accuracy and kindness
 - Always responded very quickly to any questions. Gave very clear diagrams that helped clear up misunderstandings about lecture material. Helped explain things clearly but without giving away the answer outright.
 - Helped go over the main topics that is needed to be focus on in the subject.
 - Her notes were always very organized. She made every concept very understandable. she also emphasized the differences between concepts so we wouldn't be confused.
 - Her strongest points were being able to provide us the most important topics of the book/lectures and giving us plenty of examples that would help us on homework and exams. Her writing was very neat so it was easy to follow along.
 - n/a
 - Very good at summarizing the main points from the lecture. It helped to see many examples.
 - 6 blank answer(s).
2. Do you have any recommendations for your TA that would help that person be a more effective TA in the future?
- Keep doing what you're doing!
 - More interactive. You can ask more questions to students.
 - n/a
 - None come to mind, thanks for the great quarter!
 - She was extremely helpful during the discussions and should keep her teaching techniques the same.
 - You're doing a great job! Keep it up!
 - 7 blank answer(s).

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 140 DIS A6 (62196),
Fall Qtr 2014

Responses: 15/38 (39.47%)

ATTENDANCE

1. How often did you attend discussion sections?
 - 0 Never
 - 0 Once
 - 0 2 - 3 times
 - 1 4 - 5 times
 - 3 6 - 7 times
 - 2 8 times
 - 9 More than 8 times

2. How often did you meet with the T.A., outside of the section time, to discuss the course material?
 - 6 Never
 - 3 Once
 - 2 2 - 3 times
 - 0 4 - 5 times
 - 1 6 - 7 times
 - 1 8 times
 - 1 More than 8 times

PRESENTATION

3. T.A. was competent in course material:
 - 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
 - 0 1 (Among Worst)
 - 0 2
 - 1 3
 - 1 4 (OK or Average)
 - 0 5
 - 2 6
 - 11 7 (Among Best)

4. T.A. was able to make presentations clearly:
 - 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
 - 0 1 (Among Worst)
 - 0 2
 - 1 3
 - 0 4 (OK or Average)
 - 2 5
 - 3 6
 - 9 7 (Among Best)

5. T.A. was responsive to students:
 - 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
 - 0 1 (Among Worst)
 - 0 2
 - 0 3
 - 1 4 (OK or Average)
 - 2 5
 - 1 6
 - 11 7 (Among Best)

UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 140 DIS A6 (62196), Fall Qtr 2014

6. T.A. was able to integrate the lecture and discussion material:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 1 3
- 0 4 (OK or Average)
- 2 5
- 3 6
- 9 7 (Among Best)

7. T.A. was present and on time for discussion sections/office hours:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 1 3
- 0 4 (OK or Average)
- 2 5
- 2 6
- 10 7 (Among Best)

8. The discussion sections were useful to the success of the course:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 1 3
- 1 4 (OK or Average)
- 1 5
- 2 6
- 10 7 (Among Best)

9. I would expect another course with this T.A. to be:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 1 3
- 0 4 (OK or Average)
- 2 5
- 3 6
- 9 7 (Among Best)

EFFECTIVENESS

10. Rate your T.A.'s general teaching effectiveness:

- 0 Poor
- 0 Inadequate
- 1 Less than adequate
- 1 Adequate
- 1 More than adequate
- 2 Very good
- 10 Excellent

COMMENTS

11. *<No question text>*

1. What were the strongest points of the TA in discussion section or office hours?

UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Goswami, Sanjana ECON 140 DIS A6 (62196), Fall Qtr 2014

- Discussions were helpful for the completion of homeworks! Very responsive and quick with emails. Thank you!!
 - Presented a great overview of the material discussed in class.
 - Really clear. And the note on blackboard is really organized
 - She goes into detail when going over topics discussed in lecture. She is helpful and reliable. She solves problems step by step so that students understand the concept.
 - She really knew the material and had some good ways to remember key concepts. Very organized with neat handwriting- always well-prepared for discussion.
 - TA Sanjana is very responsible for this discussion, she always come well prepared, her section is very helpful.
 - WOW. she has been one of the best TA i've had for any econ sections. she has a clear knowledge of what she wants to present to us. the way she teaches us is just so clear and very helpful. She is easy to approach and talk. She is very understanding and will work with students to help them with their needs. ONE OF MY FAVORITE BY FAR. THANK YOU
 - 8 blank answer(s).
2. Do you have any recommendations for your TA that would help that person be a more effective TA in the future?
- I think this section if perfect.
 - I would recommend her to speak a little louder.
 - Teach more excersises
 - Try to engage the class more. Other than that, great job!
 - 11 blank answer(s).